	Page 233		Page 235
1	Q This is and email from you to Dina Loft;	1	those policies were; is that a true statement?
2	согтест?	2	A Dina Loft wouldn't have known what they were
3	A To Dina Loft, correct.	3	already?
4	Q It's dated January 19, 2004, which is shortly	4	Q Yes.
5	before Valerie Hue was suspended; correct?	5	A Yes, Dina Loft did know, but she just wanted
6	A Correct.	6	to reiterate it. She just wanted to see it in black and
7	Q It says in the first sentence, Here is a	7	white to make sure it met with their
8	individual policy in place for 2 LB would that be large	8	(Marked for identification, Plaintiff's
9	balance?	9	Exhibit Number 13.)
10	A Uh-huh.	10	BY MR. HOMER:
11	Q collectors in Dover, Mark LeFevre and Dave	11	Q This is a memo from Valerie to Dave
12	McQuisten.	12	McQuisten; correct?
13	A Correct.	13	A Uh-huh.
14	Q Can you tell me what the policy was for those	14	Q Can you explain do you know what the
15	two?	15	purpose of this memo was?
16	A Can I tell you what the policy was for those	16	A The purpose of this memo, what I understand
17	two?	17	it to be was, as I said, there was as we read here,
18	Q Yes, the one that the policy that's	18	there was going to be a specific policy for McQuisten and
19 20	referenced in the statement, Here is an individual	19	LeFevre.
21	policy — A I don't have a copy of it, so I don't —	20	Q You think this is the policy, then?
22	A I don't have a copy of it, so I don't — MR. HOMER: I don't think we got a copy of	21 22	A I think this is the procedure, yeah.
23	the policy either.	23	Q Okay. A Because from what I read in here, she came up
24	MR. ISRAEL: I don't have anything more to	24	A Because from what I read in here, she came up with this.
25	give you.	25	Q Does this policy apply to the NSF
	Page 234		Page 236
١.	_	١.	_
	A Probably I cannot attest to it. BY MR. HOMER:	1 7	verification process?
2		2	A Let me see; she's referring specifically to
4	Q Do you recall there being a reason to have a special policy for LeFevre and McQuisten?	4	postdates. Q But that's the same process, isn't it? It
5	A I can't answer that right now because I don't	5	Q But that's the same process, isn't it? It says in the first sentence, Your NSFs were 10,000.
6	remember specifically.	6	A Uh-huh.
۱ ×	Q Well	7	Q Is she talking about both NSFs and postdates.
8	A There must have been a reason based on the	8	A Well, she references specifically postdates.
9	information that was in Dina's records as to why I	9	Q But the postdate procedure and the NSF
10	would I would surmise and I'm only guessing at this	10	procedure were the same; correct?
11	point in time, that the majority of checks perhaps were	11	A Should be, yes.
12	theirs, or they were larger items, one of those two. And	12	Q She says here did you require Valerie Hue
13	that they're both large balance collectors both Dave	13	to give this memo to Dave McQuisten, or was this something
14	McQuisten and Mark LeFevre were large balance collectors.	14	she did independent of you?
15	Either the items were very large or there were too many.	15	A We discussed it. I said, Come up with a
16	Q Then it goes on to say, I'm sending you over	16	procedure; let's see if it works; let me see what you have
17	a synopsis of our check policies shortly.	17	in mind; let me see how you would handle this.
18	A Uh-huh.	18	Q So did you approve of the policy before it
19	Q What check policies are you talking about	19	was given to McQuisten?
20	there?	20	A Did I approve the policy before it was given
21	A The one's that	21	to McQuisten; I'm sure I did.
22	Q The previous exhibit?	22	Q In the last paragraph it says, Verification
23	A Yeah, the one that you already have a copy of	23	must be with a bank, and then it guess on to say, You must
24	it.	24	ask if there's a stop payment on the check. If the bank
・フに	Q Dina Loft wouldn't have already known what	25	won't verify, then you must contact the debtor and get
25	D //s	, 23	worte verify, their you must contact the debtor and get

$\overline{}$	·		
	Page 237		Page 239
1	written verification, (deposit ticket, letter of intent to	1	the same question.
2	clear check, et cetera).	2	MR. HOMER: Was it redacted? Did
3	A That's what it says.	3	somebody
4	Q Then it says, Previously, your postdated	4	MR. ISRAEL: Not by us.
5	checks will not be deposited until I have verification of	5	MR. HOMER: You don't have the form that
6	the funds.	6	wasn't where the date appears on it?
7	A That's what it says.	7	MR. ISRAEL: I don't know where we got it.
8	Q Wasn't that the process for all the	8	I thought you had produced it to us.
9	collectors, they all had to verify the funds?	9	MR. HOMER: No.
10	A That is correct.	10	MR. ISRAEL: So I don't know anything about
11	Q So why is this how is this any	11	it.
12	different this isn't a special procedure just for	12	MR. HOMER: I don't remember that. I
13	McQuisten, is it, or is this different than what other	13	don't
14	collectors had to do?	14	MR. ISRAEL: I'm not saying you did. But
15	A Is it different from what other collectors	15	I'm looking at it, because I'm wondering who
16	had to do?	16	signed it.
17	Q Yes.	17	MR. HOMER: Okay.
18	A In that I was going to be getting involved in	18	MR. ISRAEL: Kathy, is this a commercial
19	every one of his?	19	division?
20	Q Yes.	20	THE WITNESS: I don't see it as being a
21	A That's a little bit different. I wasn't	21	commercial
22	involved in every single	22	MR. ISRAEL: Do you know who Mr. Lee is?
23	Q Was that the only difference?	23	THE WITNESS: No, do not know a Mr. Lee.
24	A That's part of the difference.	24	BY MR. HOMER:
25	Q What	25	Q There's a Barry Lee in the Dover office,
1	Page 238		Page 240
1	A I'm looking. That looks like the biggest	1	correct, or there was? He was a collector there.
2	part of it.	2	A I don't know. I don't remember.
3	Yeah, I wanted to see, indeed, if the	3	Q Do you see where in paragraph 7 of this
4	authorization was done properly. So that's the biggest	4	statement it says, All redips need to go through
5	difference, that I'm involved in all of his checks.	5	compliance to verify funds with the bank, exclamation
6	Q Okay.	6	point?
7	A If she was not available, that was the	7	A I see that.
8	yeah.	8	Q Did this mean that checks had to be verified
9	(Marked for identification, Plaintiff's	9	with a bank, or do you understand what it means — could
10	Exhibit Number 14.)	10	you still go to the debtor for verification?
11	BY MR. HOMER:	11	A I cannot
12	Q Can you identify Exhibit 14.	12	MR. ISRAEL: She doesn't
13	A Exhibit 14. I can read it; I don't remember	13	A I don't know this memo.
14	seeing it.	14	BY MR. HOMER:
15	Q Do you know who do you remember this	15	Q . You don't -
16	policy being in effect, that's reflected in here?	16	A I don't know this memo; I have not seen this
17	A I believe it references, if I'm not mistaken,	17	before.
18	the same memo that Dina Loft sent to Carolyn Moore about	18	Q I understand that. But can you tell me
19	all of her managers questioning the 59 checks/redips.	19	whether this paragraph 7 accurately reflects the policy,
20	Q Do you know when it was in effect?	20	as you understood it, of NCO in December 2003?
21	A I can't say.	21	MR. ISRAEL: You mean in the commercial
22	Q Down at the bottom the date is blacked out.	22	division?
23	MR. HOMER: Dave, do you have any	23	BY MR. HOMER:
24	understanding why that is?	24	Q The commercial division.
	AID TODAMI. AL. Y		•
25	MR. ISRAEL: No. I was going to ask you	25	MR. ISRAEL: We've been through this so

Page 241 I many times, Jerry. MR. HOMER: No, I've never asked her this question. This is another statement about the red policy. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but she said she's never seen the policy before. MR. HOMER: I'm not asking her if she's — I'm just asking if this reflects the policy as she understood it. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same she she will not be she will			$\overline{}$	
many times, Jerry. MR. HOMER: No, I've never asked her this a question. This is another statement about the redip policy. MR. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but she said she's never seen the policy before. MR. HOMER: Tim not asking her if she's - MR. Israel: I know, but that's the same question you asked her 15 times earlier. It says she understood it. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same question you asked her 15 times earlier. It says it must go through the bank. Answer him again. Is this the commercial division policy? A Yes. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six hours of testifying. A I have never seen this, and I think this Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 244 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 244 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 245 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: Well - NR. ISRAEL: I'm kit is a Dina Loft worked from the documents that are chart comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are laste stamped 944, 922, 1025; kt's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was a locuments. MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this the documents that are - that comprise Exhibit 15. It's not report, but they are laste stamped 949, 922, 1025; kt's not consecutive because in the version that we see that seed our ments that are - that comprise Exhibit 15. It's not report, but they are laste stamped 949, 922, 1025; kt's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was a locument and the content was a locument. This was a proposition that they supported the information that was a locument and the content content is and indicating th		Page 241		Page 243
2 MR. HOMER: No, I've never asked her this a question. This is another statement about the redip policy. 3	1	many times. Jerry	Ι,	MP HOMES: Diable
question. This is another statement about the redip policy. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but she said she's never seen the policy before. MR. HOMER: Tim not asking her if she's – I'm just asking if this reflects the policy as she understood if. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same question you asked her I's times earlier. It says it must go through the bank. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same question you asked her I's times earlier. It says it is this the commercial division policy? A yes. BYMR. HOMER: I's this the commercial division policy? A yes. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait, the formation policy? The WITNESS: Oh, this policy – I apologize; I'm sorty. MR. ISRAEL: I's fate in the day, six how feed from the prevented this document. MR. ISRAEL: I's fate in the day, six how feed for identification, plaintiff's exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: I's fate in the day, six how feed for identification, plaintiff's exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: I's fate in the day, six how feed for identification, plaintiff's exhibit Number 15.) MR. HOMER: Mere explainting that in a minute. MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: Mere explainting that in a minute. MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: Mere explainting that was a I just the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: I's list in the day, six how feet from opposing counsel a batch of the record. MR. ISRAEL: I's list in the day six how feet from that withen explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from opposing counsel at a series that proposing document. MR. ISRAEL: I think this is a Dina Loft document. MR. ISRAEL: I think this she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: I think this she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to			1	-
redip policy. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but she said she's never seen the policy before. MR. HOMER: I'm not asking the rif she's Imput asking it his reflects the policy as she understood it. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same question you asked her 15 times earlier. It says it must go through the bank. Is this the commercial division policy? A yes. MR. HOMER: MR. ISRAEL: Didn't she create this? MR. ISRAEL: Didn't she create this? MR. HOMER: Didn't she create this? MR. HOMER: Didn't she created this document, and then she created the report with the conclusions. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. Is this the commercial division policy? Is this the commercial division policy? Is this the commercial division policy? MR. HOMER: Well MR. ISRAEL: I understood that Dina Loft created this document, and then she created the report with her conclusions. MR. HOMER: Well MR. ISRAEL: I think this is a Dina Loft difference. MR. HOMER: Well MR. ISRAEL: I think this is a Dina Loft difference. MR. HOMER: Well MR. ISRAEL: I think this is a Dina Loft difference. MR. HOMER: Well MR. ISRAEL: Libria this this is a Dina Loft difference. MR. HOMER: Well MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. HOMER: Mell MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. HOMER: Well MR. I			1	
MR. ISRAEL: I know, but she said she's never seen the policy before. MR. HOMER: I'm not asking her if she's I'm just asking if this reflects the policy as she understood it. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same question you asked her 15 times earlier. It says it it must go through the bank. Answer him again. Is this the commercial division policy? A Yes. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six hours of testifying. A I have never seen this, and I think this Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 244 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 245 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 246 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 247 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 248 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 249 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 240 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 241 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 244 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 245 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 246 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 247 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 248 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 249 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 240 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 241 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. Page 244 policy relates specifica				
But collectively, what Exhibit 15 represents, as Iunderstand the information that were given about it by opposing coursel, is this is the report about it by opposing coursel, is this it the report about it by opposing coursel, is this it the report about it by opposing coursel, is this it be report about it by opposing coursel it by opposing coursel, it is thy opposing coursel in the vocation and produced? MR. ISRAEL: Didn't — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important it is thy opposing coursel. It is the ference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: Ithis this this a Dina Loft document. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL:		• • •	1	
7 MR. HOMER: I'm not asking her if she's— 8 I'm just asking if this reflects the policy as she understood it. 9 she understood it. 10 MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same question you asked her 15 times earlier. It says it must go through the bank. 11 It must go through the bank. 12 it must go through the bank. 13 Answer him again. 14 Is this the commercial division policy? 15 A Yes. 16 BY MR. HOMER: 17 Q And - 18 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. 19 the question. 20 Is this the commercial division policy? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy - I 22 apologize; I'm sorry. 23 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six hours of testifying. 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 26 Exhibit Number 15.) 27 MR. HOMER: 28 BY MR. HOMER: 38 Q Ckay. 39 MR. HOMER: 39 Q Kay. 4 A I have never seen this before. 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15. 7 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. 10 BY MR. HOMER: 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents. And as I understand it from that written replanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are—that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was a they approposite that they supported the information that was a proposition that they supported the information that was a proport that they supported the information that was a proport that they supported the information that was a proport that they supported the information that was a first care—that attached to it, term are whithis statached to it, term are whithis statac			1	
I'm just asking if this reflects the policy as she understood it. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same question you asked her 15 times earlier. It says it must go through the bank. Answer him again. BY MR. HOMER: Q And Q And It bit is the commercial division policy? It BY MR. HOMER: Answer him again. It BY MR. HOMER: Answer him and the steereated the report but answer and that again and the steed the report, but any are are this this to a Dina Loft worked from the documents that are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are bates stamped 944, 982, 1025, it's not any and the standard to it, it was a propositio			1 -	
she understood it. MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same question you asked her 15 times earlier. It says it must go through the bank. Answer him again, Is his the commercial division policy? The WITNESS: Oh, this policy – I apologize; I'm sorry, MR. ISRAEL: I's lake in the day, six hours of testifying. A I have never seen this, and I think this Page 242 BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15,) MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15,) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that bina Loft produced? MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that comments. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to documents. And as I understand it from that written to document and that written to document and that written to document and that written to document to the very document. This was a to document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It to document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It to document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It to document				
MR. ISRAEL: I know, but that's the same question you asked her 15 times earlier. It says it must go through the bank. Answer him again. Is this the commercial division policy? A Yes. BY MR. HOMER: MR. ISRAEL: I understood that Dina Loft created this document, and then she created the report with her conclusions. MR. ISRAEL: I understood that Dina Loft created this document, and then she created the report with her conclusions. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: I this this is a Dina Loft document. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: I this this is a Dina Loft document. MR. HOMER: She obviously created it. I mean — MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. HOMER: Actually, I'm not sure she — Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. MR. ISRAEL: Is his the latest one that A I have never seen this before. MR. HOMER: MR. HOMER: MR. HOMER: Well — MR. HOMER: Wel			1 -	
112 question you asked her 15 times earlier. It says 113 Answer him again. 124 Is this the commercial division policy? 125 A Yes. 126 BY MR. HOMER: 127 Q And 128 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on 129 the question. 130 Is this the commercial division policy? 131 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on 132 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on 133 the question. 134 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on 135 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on 148 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on 159 the question. 150 Is this the commercial division policy? 151 THE WITINESS: Oh, this policy - I 152 apologize; I'm sorry. 153 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six 154 hours of testifying. 155 A I have never seen this, and I think this 155 Page 242 1 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 150 Page 244 1 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 151 Page 245 1 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 152 MR. HOMER: MR. HOMER: MR. HOMER: She obviously created it. I mean			1 -	
it must go through the bank. Answer him again. Answer him again. Answer him again. Yes. A Yes. BY MR. HOMER: A Yes. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. Is this the commercial division policy? THE WITINESS: Oh, this policy — I MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: It hink this is a Dina Loft document. MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: I think this is a Dina Loft document. MR. ISRAEL: It hink this is a Dina Loft document. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. HOMER: Well — MR. ISRAEL: That's an important difference. MR. ISRAEL: That's an important diff		Question you asked her 15 times earlier. It save		
Answer him again. 14 Is this the commercial division policy? 15 A Yes. 16 BY MR. HOMER: 17 Q And 18 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on 18 difference. 19 the question. 19 Is this the commercial division policy? 20 Is this the commercial division policy? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy - I 21 apologize; I'm sorry. 22 apologize; I'm sorry. 23 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six 24 hours of testifying. 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 25 MR. HOMER: She obviously created it. I mean - MR. ISRAEL: Okay. 26 MR. HOMER: She obviously created it. I mean - MR. ISRAEL: Okay. 27 MR. HOMER: MR. HOM			1	
14 Is this the commercial division policy? 15 A Yes. 16 BY MR. HOMER: 17 Q And 18 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait. Focus on 19 the question. 20 Is this the commercial division policy? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy - I 22 apologize; I'm sorry. 23 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 26 Page 242 27 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 28 BY MR. HOMER: 29 A I have never seen this before. 29 Gkay. 30 Q kay. 4 A I have never seen this before. 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 5 Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: 10 A I have never seen this before. 11 Sy MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to go through that in a minute. 13 BY MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of the record. 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the odcuments not long ago with a written explanation of the explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we go that was a letter explaining what the document. 18 MR. HOMER: 20 I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 21 Shibit Number 15.) 22 MR. HOMER: 23 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 24 We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the explanation, planatiff's Exhibit Number 16.) 18 MR. HOMER: 19 We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the explanation, planatiff's Exhibit Number 16.) 19 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 10 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 11 I'm like to next identify Exhibit 16. 12 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) 18 W		-	1	- · · -
15 A Yes. 16 BY MR. HOMER: 20 And 18 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait; wait. Focus on the question. 19 the question. 19 the question. 19 THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy — I 20 Is this the commercial division policy? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy — I 22 apologize; I'm sorry. 23 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 26 BY MR. HOMER: 27 Page 242 28 PY MR. HOMER: 29 With Homer: 20 Is a minute. 20 MR. HOMER: Actually, I'm not sure she — 21 Policy relates specifically to the retail division. 2 BY MR. HOMER: 3 Q Okay. 3 BY MR. HOMER: 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 5 Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: 10 Q I'm going to go through that in a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, plina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we go that was a letter explaining what the document. 18 BY MR. HOMER: 19 MR. HOMER: Well — 10 MR. HOMER: Well — 11 MR. HOMER: Well — 12 MR. HOMER: She obviously created it. I mean — 12 MR. HOMER: Actually, I'm not sure she — 13 Page 244 1 Policy relates specifically to the retail division. 1 by MR. HOMER: 2 MR. HOMER: Actually, I'm not sure she — 2 MR. ISRAEL: Okay. 2 BY MR. HOMER: 3 Q Okay. 3 BY MR. HOMER: 4 Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. 5 Exhibit Number 16.) 18 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 19 How received from opposing counsel a batch of the version that written are actually explanation, plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) 19 BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. I			1	
16 BY MR. HOMER: 17 Q And - 18 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait, wait, Focus on the question. 19 the question. 19 Is this the commercial division policy? 20 Is this the commercial division policy? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy — I 22 apologize; I'm sorry. 23 MR. ISRAEL: I's late in the day, six 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 26 Page 242 27 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 28 BY MR. HOMER: 29 WR. HOMER: 30 Q Okay. 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. 10 AR. ISRAEL: Okay. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 13 documents. 14 We received from opposing coursel a batch of the record. 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit IS. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was a better were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proportion that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15.			1	
17 Q And 18 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait, wait. Focus on 19 the question. 19 Is this the commercial division policy? 20 Is this the commercial division policy? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy - I 22 apologize; I'm sorry. 23 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 26 Page 242 27 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 28 BY MR. HOMER: 3 Q Okay. 3 BY MR. HOMER: 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to go through that in a minute. 13 documents. 14 We received from opposing coursel a batch of documents and as I understand if from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that as are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was a selection that they supported the information that was of the sets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was a proposition that they supported the information that was a proposition that they supported the information that was a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the – her			,	-
18 MR. ISRAEL: Wait; wait, wait. Focus on the question. 19 the question. 20 Is this the commercial division policy? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy — I 21 apologize; I'm sorry. 22 apologize; I'm sorry. 23 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six 24 hours of testifying. 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 27 MR. ISRAEL: Okay. 26 MR. HOMER: 27 Page 242 28 PMR. HOMER: 28 PMR. HOMER: 39 Q Ckay. 31 Q Okay. 31 Q Okay. 31 Q Okay. 31 Q Okay. 32 Q Neay. 33 Q Okay. 34 A I have never seen this before. 35 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 36 Exhibit Number 15.) 37 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? 38 Dina Loft produced? 39 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. 30 A MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. 31 BY MR. HOMER: 32 O' I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 38 We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents. And as I understand it from that written of documents. And as I understand it from that written are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was a states stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was 34 in Exhibit 15.			1	
19 the question. 20 Is this the commercial division policy? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy — I 22 apologize; I'm sorry. 23 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 26 Page 242 27 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 28 BY MR. HOMER: 29 MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. 29 MR. ISRAEL: Okay. 30 Q Okay. 4 A I have never seen this before. 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 5 Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: 1'm going to go through that in a minute. 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing coursel a batch of documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was a functional part of the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of subtiful, Exhibit 0, is a letter explaining what the document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing coursel. It is a letter explaining what the document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing coursel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit 0, is the compliance violation report — or a proposition that they supported the information that was it's characterized in the teres as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the — her		•	1	
Is this the commercial division policy? THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy — I apologize; I'm sorry. MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six A I have never seen this, and I think this Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. A I have never seen this before. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: A I homer: A Q but the form that we go tit in was as I just explained. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. A I have never seen this before. BY MR. HOMER: A I homer: A Q But the form that a was as I just explained. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: A Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: A Q I'm like to next identify Exhibit 16. MR. HOMER: A Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating this chec				
THE WITNESS: Oh, this policy — I apologize; I'm sorry. MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six A I have never seen this, and I think this Page 242 Page 244 policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: A I have never seen this before. Warked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. HOMER: MR. HOMER: MR. HOMER: Actually, I'm not sure she — Page 244 A I have never seen this before. MR. Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. HOMER: MR. HOMER:		•	1 -	
22 apologize; I'm sorry. 23 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 26 Page 242 27 Page 242 28 PY MR. HOMER: Actually, I'm not sure she — Page 244 1 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 2 BY MR. HOMER: 3 Q Okay. 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the 16 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the 17 explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that 18 are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 19 they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 19 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 19 they are Bates stamped 949, 982, 1025; it's not 20 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 21 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 22 that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
23 MR. ISRAEL: It's late in the day, six 24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this 26 Page 242 Page 242 Page 244 1 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 2 BY MR. HOMER: 3 Q Okay. 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written 16 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written 17 explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that 18 are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 19 they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 21 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 22 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15.				
24 hours of testifying. 25 A I have never seen this, and I think this Page 242 1 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 2 BY MR. HOMER: 3 Q Okay. 3 BY MR. HOMER: 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 8 MR. HOMER: 1'm going to go through that in 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 1'm going to go through that in 11 a minute. 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the 16 documents. And as I understand it from that written 17 explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that 18 are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 19 they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 21 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 22 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15.	23		1	
Page 242 Page 244 Page 245 Page 246 Page 247 Page 248 Page 248 Page 249 Page 2			1	
Page 242 policy relates specifically to the retail division. policy relates specifically to the retail division. RMR. HOMER: QOKay. AI have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's to Hardy Homes.) Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. MR. HOMER: QI'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was a proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. MR. HOMER: Publy you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be may be going to put my understand that? MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be soing back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. MR. HOMER: We're going to be going back and forth bet	25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1 policy relates specifically to the retail division. 2 BY MR. HOMER: 3 Q Okay. 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her			 	
2 MR. ISRAEL: Okay. 3 Q Okay. 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 5 Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 8 Istening? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 1'm going to go through that in a minute. 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got it in was as I just explained. 6 MR. ISRAEL: Okay. 7 Did you understand that? Are you listening? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. 10 BY MR. HOMER: 11 Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. 12 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got it in was as I just explained. 6 MR. ISRAEL: Okay. 7 Did you understand that? Are you listening? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. 10 BY MR. HOMER: 11 Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. 12 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation of the documents hat written explanation of the documents hat are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 document state are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 document state are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 document state are — that comprise Exhi	1	Page 242	l	0 244
3 BY MR. HOMER: 4 A I have never seen this before. 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 5 explained. 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 9 a minute. 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 11 Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. 12 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 18 are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 20 consecutive because in the version that we got it in was as I just explained. 9 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 12 proposition that they supported the information that was 1 and 1	1.			•
4 Q But the form that we got it in was as I just 5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the 16 documents. And as I understand it from that written 17 explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that 18 are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 19 they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 consecutive because in the version that we got it in was as I just 21 explained. 22 Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15.	1	policy relates specifically to the retail division.	1	•
5 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the 16 documents. And as I understand it from that written 17 explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that 18 are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 19 they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 19 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 19 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 20 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 21 proposition that they supported the information that was 22 in Exhibit 15.	2	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER:	I	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay.
6 Exhibit Number 15.) 7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 8 listening? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the 16 documents. And as I understand it from that written 17 explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that 18 are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 19 they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 21 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 22 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15.	3	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay.	2	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER:
7 MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that 8 Dina Loft produced? 9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the 16 documents. And as I understand it from that written 17 explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that 18 are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 19 they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 21 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 22 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15.	2 3 4	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before.	2 3 4	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just
Build Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was leasts stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15.	2 3 4 5	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's	2 3 4 5	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained.
9 MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in 10 a minute. 11 BY MR. HOMER: 11 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the 16 documents. And as I understand it from that written 17 explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that 18 are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 19 they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 21 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 22 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15.	2 3 4 5 6	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.)	2 3 4 5 6	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay.
a minute. 10 BY MR. HOMER: 11 Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of 15 documents not long ago with a written explanation of the 16 documents. And as I understand it from that written 17 explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that 18 are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but 19 they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not 20 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 21 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 22 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15.	2 3 4 5 6 7	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that	2 3 4 5 6	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you
11 BY MR. HOMER: 12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 13 the record. 14 We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. 16 Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. 17 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 18 I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. 19 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) 19 BY MR. HOMER: 10 PMR. HOMER: 11 Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. 12 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 13 I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. 15 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) 16 Exhibit Number 16.) 17 BY MR. HOMER: 18 Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening?
12 Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. 13 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 14 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 15 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 16 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 17 I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. 18 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 19 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 10 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 11 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 12 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 13 We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. 14 I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. 15 (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) 16 Exhibit Number 16.) 17 BY MR. HOMER: 18 Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening.
the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. Id documents. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER:
We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second.
documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: 18 Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two
documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report — or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the — her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents.
explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. BY MR. HOMER: O This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report — or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the — her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16.
are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. 18 Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report — or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the — her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's
they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. 19 document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.)
20 consecutive because in the version that we got that was 21 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 22 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15. 20 is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating 21 that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of 22 which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or 23 it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation 24 report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER:
21 Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact 22 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the 23 proposition that they supported the information that was 24 in Exhibit 15. 21 that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of 22 which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or 23 it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation 24 report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a
 sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15. which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It
 proposition that they supported the information that was it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation in Exhibit 15. report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating
24 in Exhibit 15. 24 report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of
21 report that bind core put together regarding the fier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report — or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation
B ~ 1/\(\sigma\) 61 (Pages 241 to 244)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	policy relates specifically to the retail division. BY MR. HOMER: Q Okay. A I have never seen this before. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 15.) MR. ISRAEL: Is this the latest one that Dina Loft produced? MR. HOMER: I'm going to go through that in a minute. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'm going to put my understanding of this on the record. We received from opposing counsel a batch of documents not long ago with a written explanation of the documents. And as I understand it from that written explanation, Dina Loft worked from the documents that are — that comprise Exhibit 15. It's one report, but they are Bates stamped 944, 982, 1025; it's not consecutive because in the version that we got that was Bates stamped, after each individual sheet there were fact sheets, which were submitted, I believe, to the proposition that they supported the information that was in Exhibit 15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	she did; I think she did create it. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. BY MR. HOMER: Q But the form that we got it in was as I just explained. MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Did you understand that? Are you listening? THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm listening. BY MR. HOMER: Q I'd like to put that aside for a second. We're going to be going back and forth between these two documents. I'd like to next identify Exhibit 16. (Marked for identification, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 16.) BY MR. HOMER: Q This is another lengthy document. This was a document that was sent recently by opposing counsel. It is a letter explaining what the document is and indicating that there are exhibits attached to it, the second of which, Exhibit B, is the compliance violation report or it's characterized in the letter as a policy violation report that Dina Loft put together regarding the her

	Page 245		Page 24 7
1	MR. ISRAEL: Where is the policy violation	1	MR. HOMER: Okay.
2	report?	2	MR. ISRAEL: What happened was we can go
3	MR. HOMER; It's Exhibit B	3	off the record a second.
4	MR. ISRAEL: Exhibit B.	4	(Thereupon, an off-the-record discussion
5	MR. HOMER: — to the letter, which is	5	was held.)
6	Exhibit 16. Exhibit B is part of Exhibit 16.	6	BY MR. HOMER:
7	MR. ISRAEL: I don't see any policy	7	Q Let's go back to Exhibit 15.
8	violation report.	8	MR. ISRAEL: Let's just make sure let's
9	MR. HOMER: Well —	9	make a short — as I explained to Mr. Homer off
10	MR. ISRAEL: Where is it?	10	the record, the reference in Miss Fite's March 3,
11	MR. HOMER: It's right after where it says	11	2006 letter, on the first page, last paragraph,
12	Exhibit B.	12	Exhibit B to a policy violation report is not
13	MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Maybe it's in there.	13	Exhibit B to her letter.
14	Maybe I don't have a full one.	14	
15	MR. HOMER: You have to go to the right	15	Exhibit B to her letter, I understand to be Miss Shaantiel's work and information from
16	page.	16	
17	There's one exhibit that has a lot of	17	Miss Shaantiel's work which was titled in
18	information; then after that, there's an Exhibit	18	Elizabeth Fite's March 3 letter, called, quote,
19	B laid in the document.	19	"root of problem," closed quote was transferred,
20	MR. ISRAEL: Give me a moment.	20	summarized, or somehow reported on the policy
21	Okay.	21	violation report; which I can see at least a
22	BY MR. HOMER:		piece of one page, looks like Exhibit 2 to
23		22	Miss Shaantiel's deposition, and it's got a
24	Q Now, according to your letter of March 3 or Elizabeth Fite's letter of March 3, that is a policy	23	Number 2 Bates number produced by NCO.
25	violation report. If you look at the first page of	24 25	MR. HOMER: Okay.
125	Violation report. If you look at the first page of	23	BY MR. HOMER:
	D 34C		
	Page 246		Page 248
1	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that	1	Page 248 Q With that explanation
2	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my	1 2	•
2	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation	l	Q With that explanation
2 3 4	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that	2	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15.
2 3 4 5	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation	2	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15.
2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled	2 3 4	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER:
2 3 4 5 6 7	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this	2 3 4 5	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where - and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit B to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is	2 3 4 5 6	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where – and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where - and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit B to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where - and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's called policy violation report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit B to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where - and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit B to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up with certified funds. But that deals with the first debtor entry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's called policy violation report? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, we produced that. We produced that early, early on. That's what it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where - and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up with certified funds. But that deals with the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's called policy violation report? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, we produced that. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up with certified funds. But that deals with the first debtor entry. Now, there are numerous pages of this document, and there are various collector names that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's called policy violation report? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, we produced that. We produced that early, early on. That's what it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up with certified funds. But that deals with the first debtor entry. Now, there are numerous pages of this document, and there are various collector names that appear in it. The first thing I would like to do is ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's called policy violation report? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, we produced that. We produced that early, early on. That's what it's called, a policy violation report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit B to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up with certified funds. But that deals with the first debtor entry. Now, there are numerous pages of this document, and there are various collector names that appear in it. The first thing I would like to do is ask if you know where these different collectors work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's called policy violation report? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, we produced that. We produced that early, early on. That's what it's called, a policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Is that this document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where - and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit B to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up with certified funds. But that deals with the first debtor entry. Now, there are numerous pages of this document, and there are various collector names that appear in it. The first thing I would like to do is ask if you know where these different collectors work. Let's start with William Catlett. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's called policy violation report? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, we produced that. We produced that early, early on. That's what it's called, a policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Is that this document? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, that's it right there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit B to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up with certified funds. But that deals with the first debtor entry. Now, there are numerous pages of this document, and there are various collector names that appear in it. The first thing I would like to do is ask if you know where these different collectors work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's called policy violation report? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, we produced that. We produced that early, early on. That's what it's called, a policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Is that this document? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, that's it right there. MR. HOMER: It's not called policy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit B to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up with certified funds. But that deals with the first debtor entry. Now, there are numerous pages of this document, and there are various collector names that appear in it. The first thing I would like to do is ask if you know where these different collectors work. Let's start with William Catlett. Do you know where he worked, what office he was in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 16, you will see where she says that MR. ISRAEL: I think, Jerry this is my view of it. I've seen the policy violation report, and I think what Liz was saying was that Dina's conclusions were put in a policy violation report, but that they are from the column titled "root of problem," which is I don't think this document that I'm holding up to show you is actually the policy violation report. I think this root of problem in the information is what went to the document called policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Okay. MR. ISRAEL: That's what I understand. MR. HOMER: Do we have a document that's called policy violation report? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, we produced that. We produced that early, early on. That's what it's called, a policy violation report. MR. HOMER: Is that this document? MR. ISRAEL: Yeah, that's it right there. MR. HOMER: It's not called policy violation report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q With that explanation MR. ISRAEL: Go to Exhibit 15. MR. HOMER: Go to 15. BY MR. HOMER: Q Do you see on the exhibit where and I think this is the same exhibit as Exhibit 8 to Exhibit 16, although I haven't compared it line for line. But do you see the at the top of the first page, which is 944, there are various columns, and one of the columns is the date of check; the next column is the collector name; next is an account number; next says root of problem, collector/cash debtor; next says unit; next says check amount; and next says explanation. And in there, there are some it also says, 1st bounce was never made up with certified funds. But that deals with the first debtor entry. Now, there are numerous pages of this document, and there are various collector names that appear in it. The first thing I would like to do is ask if you know where these different collectors work. Let's start with William Catlett. Do you know where he worked, what office he was in? A William Catlett was in Atlanta.

Page 253 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	3/10/00 - Ratily Obelisham
2 Q Does that signify that the collector made a mistake in following policy? 4 A I can't say. If have to go back and look at 5 these all over again, and I don't remember. 6 Q The second one for Calett says, Debtor says. 7 no – it says MY there, but I think it means no money? 8 Q Doyou know what MY stands for? 9 Q Do you know what MY stands for? 10 A I would presume – I don't know, but I'm 11 guessing no money, MY. 12 Q No money on 2/12, and then it says check 13 should – Cf, do you know what that means? 14 A Check should – 15 Q Should have been pulled. 16 A – of pulled; yeah, check should have been 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 10 check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 11 A That's what I'm reading. 12 Q That would be a violation of the check 13 handling process; correct? 14 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page – Page 254 1 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 2 MR. HOMER: This is 982. 2 Page 254 1 A Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI. 8 Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. 1 I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in detail. I think I saw this document, 1 the other one. 2 Q This trying to go through some examples. 3 I don't want to go through it all because it is getting later to go through it all because it is getting later to go through it all because it is getting later to go through it all because it is getting later to go through it all because it is getting later was no money. 19 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 A Mill MSF, what that means it hat there were mulliple NSF, other it was not the case. 11 He WITHESS: It's page 982. 12 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that it wasn't good down to where it says Annie Hunt		Page 253		Page 255
3 mistake in following policy? 4 A Lam't say. I'd have to go back and look at these all over again, and I don't remember. 5 The second one for Catlett says, Debtor says no net says MY there, but I think it means no money? 8 A No 9 Q Do you know what MY stands for? 10 A I would presume — I don't know, but I'm 11 guessing no money, MY. 11 guessing no money, MY. 12 Q No money on 2/12, and then it says check 12 Q No money on 2/12, and then it says check 13 should — CF, do you know what that means? 14 A Check should — CF, do you know what that means? 15 Q Should have been pulled. 16 A of pulled; yeah, check should have been pulled. 17 Q Should have been pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor was told that there weren't mories on 12/12 and yet the 20 check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 11 A That's what Thr reading. 12 Q That would be a violation of the check handling process; correct? 14 A Nes. 15 Q Going to the bottom of the next page — Page 254 1 MR, ISRAEL: What Bates number? 2 MR, HOMER: This is 982. 3 BY MR. HOMER: This is 982. 4 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. Does that reflect that the collector submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 5 A Redd without debtor's day, 4 Ves. 6 Q Violation of the check handling policy? 7 A Yes. 8 Q Clary. 8 Q Clary. 8 Q Clary. 9 A Well, from what it says here. 10 Q Okay. 11 This is what she gave to me. 12 Q End gave you the full list, this whole doubt at it was resulting and hyped that they would look at it very carefully. 12 I Inhit with the mean is was resulting and the wasn't pulled? 13 don't know if she gave me all of it, but she gave me all of it, but she gave bette to see the see in this detail. I don't remember seeing this to some cody and hoped that they would look at it very carefully. 13 I don't know if she gave me all of it, but it was resulting and the gave me all o	1	•	1	Q The next page, top of the page, Multi NSF,
4 A Leant say. I'd have to go back and look at to these all over again, and I don't remember. 6 Q The second one for Catlett says, Debtor says 7 no — It says MY there, but I think it means no money? 8 A No — 9 Q Do you know what MY stands for? 10 A I would presume — I don't know, but I'm 11 guessing no money, MY. 11 Q No money on 2/12, and then it says check 3 should — Cf. do you know what that means? 14 A Check should — Check should have been 17 pulled. 15 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 20 check was feated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 16 A — of pulled; yeah, check should have been 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 22 may be stold that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 22 check was feated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 11 A That's what That reading. 12 That would be a violation of the check 23 handling process; correct? 12 A That's what Bates number? 13 BY MR. HOMER: This is 982. 14 Q — It says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 5 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 15 A This is on that some of the page there for Julie Rees, 16 knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 5 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 16 A The reason the check hounced was because 13 there was no money. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITHNESS: It's page 982. 10 MR. ISRAEL: I fon't even have that page. 11 The Wartness' it mean? 12 MR. ISRAEL: I fon't even have that page. 13 Hort would be a violation of policy? 14 A Perhaps we did an investigation and found 12 that was not the case, it is given the size it says and the check in and the debtor is spis given to the check in the collector 5 money/multi have been pulled. 16 Q There it says. I think I a with so good in the policy is correct? 17 Q What does it mean? 18 A I 175, okay. 19 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 10 Q That's a violation of the p	2		2	reDCI without debtor okay.
5 these all over again, and I don't remember. 6 Q The Second one for Catlett says, Debtor says 7 no — It says M't there, but I think it means no money? 8 A No — 9 Q Do you know what MY stands for? 10 A I would presume — I don't know, but I'm 11 guessing no money, MY. 12 Q No money on 2/12, and then it says check 13 should — Gr, do you know what that means? 14 A Check should — 15 Q Should have been pulled. 16 A — of pulled; yeah, check should have been 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 20 check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 21 A That's what I'm reading. 22 Q That would be a violation of the check 23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page — Page 254 1 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 2 MR. HOMER: 2 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 3 Kasys, No money/mult NSF. 3 R MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that it was resubmitted several times? 4 MR. ISRAEL: I fon't even have that it was resubmitted several times? 5 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 6 MR. ISRAEL: I fon't even have that page. 7 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 8 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 10 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 11 A MILI NSF, what that means is that three were multiple NSF on that account, nonsufficient fund checks. 12 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 13 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't tast that the check bounced was because would be a violation of policy? 14 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 15 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 16 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 17 Q Mat does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 19 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that that means is that three were multiple NSF on that account, nonsufficient fund checks. 22 Ging down to where it says Annie Hunt, 1'where it says that? 23 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 24 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 25 MR. ISRAEL: She find the ceks. Multi NSFs wouldn't man redeposit the same item. 26 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 27 MR. H	3	- · · · ·	3	A Multi NSF, re R-E-D redai
6 Q The second one for Cateltt says, Debtor says 7 no — it says MY there, but I think it means no mone? 8 A No — 9 Q Do you know what MY stands for? 10 A I would presume — I don't know, but I'm 11 guessing no money, MY. 12 Q No money on 2/12, and then it says check 13 should — Cf, do you know what that means? 14 A Check should — 15 Q Should have been pulled. 16 A — of pulled; yeah, check should have been 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 10 check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 11 A That's what Tim reading. 12 Q That would be a violation of the check 13 handling process; correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Going to the bottom of the next page — Page 254 1 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 2 MR. HOMER: This is 982. 3 BY MR. HOMER: This is 982. 4 A The reason the check no good, moved DCI; 5 Submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it 8 looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a — 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 A The reason the check bounced was because 15 there was no money. 16 A That's a violation of the policy; correct? 17 A first says like to me. 18 Q Chark throwing it wouldn't be good? 19 A the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF, 11 A 1175, yeah. 12 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 13 there was no money. 16 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 17 A The reason the check bounced was because 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WTINESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 21 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 22 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 23 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 24 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 25 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 26 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that there were multiple NSFs on that account, norsufficient fund c	4		4	Q DCI.
7 no — It says MY there, but I think it means no money? 8 A No — 9 Q Do you know what MY stands for? 10 A I would presume — I don't know, but I'm 1 guessing no money, MY. 11 guessing no money, MY. 12 Q No money on 2/12, and then it says check 13 should — CF, do you know what that means? 13 should — CF, do you know what that means? 14 A Check should — Life A Check should have been pulled. 15 Q Should have been pulled. 16 A — of pulled; yeah, check should have been 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 18 A This is what she gave to me. 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 20 check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 21 A That's what I'm reading. 22 Q That would be a violation of the check 23 handling process; correct? 23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page — Page 254 1 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page — Page 254 2 MR. HOMER: This is 962. 3 BY NR. HOMER: This is 962. 4 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 4 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 4 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 4 A The top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 16 A This is und the page there for Julie Rees, 11 it was resumitted several times? 17 A fine reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 18 A This is what she gave to me. 1 don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I think I saw this document, the page there for Julie Rees, 12 it was resumited several times? 18 A Two bounces in a row. 19 Q A the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 12 it was resumited several times? 19 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it was resumited several ti	5	these all over again, and I don't remember.	5	A Redci without debtor's okay.
8	6		6	Q Violation of the check handling policy?
9 Q Do you know what MY stands for? 10 A I would presume — I don't know, but I'm 11 guesting no money, MY. 12 Q No money on 2/12, and then it says check 13 should — CF, do you know what that means? 13 should — CF, do you know what that means? 14 A Check should — 15 Q Should have been pulled. 16 A — of pulled; yeah, check should have been 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 20 check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 21 A That's what I'm reading. 22 Q That would be a violation of the check 23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page — 26 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 27 A Knew check no good, moved DCI. 28 Shy H. HOMER: This is 982. 29 At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 20 Q Ad the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 11 the was not the case. This is just her preliminary 12 think I didn't get bits one in full detail. I think I saw thole 13 shy MR. HOMER: This is 982. 14 A 1175, yeah. 15 Q Going to the bottom of the next page — 26 Q This is the full list, this whole 27 A Knew check no good, moved DCI. 28 Q This is the full list, this whole 29 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 A 1175, okay. 12 Q This is the full list, this whole 12 I think I didn't get this one — I don't 13 steeper the full list, this whole 14 d I think I saw the full list, this whole 15 pushed the full list, this whole 16 Q She gave you the full list, this whole 17 document, Exhibit 13? 18 A I don't know if she gave the sit os meabody and hoped that they would look 18 a I don't know if she gave we all of it, but 19 steeper be full list, this whole 19 during the full list, this whole 10 during the full list, this whole 11 during the full list, this whole 12 think I didn't get this one — I don't 13 during the full list, this whole 14 during the full list, this whole 15 during th	7	no — it says MY there, but I think it means no money?	7	A Yes.
10	8	A No	8	Q Okay.
11 guessing no money, MY. 12 Q No money on 2/12, and then it says check 13 should — Gr, do you know what that means? 14 A Check should — 15 Q Should have been pulled. 16 A — of pulled, yeah, check should have been 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 20 check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 21 A That's what Thr reading. 22 Q That would be a violation of the check 23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page — 26 Page 254 1 MR, ISRAEL: What Bates number? 27 A Knew check no good, moved DCI. 28 Does that reflect that the collector submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 29 A The reason the check bounced was because it less yes, No money/multi NSF. 3 it says, No money/multi NSF. 4 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it was resubmitted several times? 4 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it towar reason the check bounced was because until the was resubmitted several times? 3 MR, ISRAEL: Ch, Tm sorry, 4 MR, ISRAEL: Ch, Tm sorry, 5 MR, ISRAEL: Ch, Tm sorry, 6 MR, ISRAEL: Ch, Tm sorry, 7 MR, ISRAEL: She got a list. 7 MR, Multi NSF, what that means is that there were a multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. BY MR, HOMER: 10 MR, ISRAEL: Ch, Tm sorry, 10 MR, ISRAEL: She global ties, this is set time was no money. 11 MR, ISRAEL: She global ties, this is set time. 12 MR, ISRAEL: Ch, Tm sorry, 13 MR, ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 14 MR, ISRAEL: I don't set what hat means it that there were a multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 15 MR ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. 16 MR, ISRAEL: I didn't set this is. 17 MR, HOMER: 1 didn't set this set there were a multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 16 MR, ISRAEL: She didn	9	Q Do you know what MY stands for?	9	A Well, from what it says here.
12 should — CF, do you know what that means? 13 should — CF, do you know what that means? 14 A Check should — 15 Q Should have been pulled. 16 A — of pulled; yeah, check should have been pulled. 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor years told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 21 A That's what Tm reading. 22 Q That would be a violation of the check handling process; correct? 23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page — Page 254 1 MR, ISRAEL: What Bates number? 2 MR, HOMER: 3 BY MR, HOMER: 4 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 4 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Lose that reflect that the collector submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 4 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Loses that reflect that the collector submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 4 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Loses that reflect that the collector submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 4 Q — it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Loses that reflect that the collector submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 6 Submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, it says, No money/multi NSF. 10 A 1175, okay. 11 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 12 A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. 13 Q O and multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it was resubmitted several times? 14 Would that be a — 15 Q Final would be a violation of policy? 18 A No, does not. 19 Q What does it mean? 19 THE WITHNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR, ISRAEL: Oh, Tm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different n	10	A I would presume — I don't know, but I'm	10	Q Okay.
should — CF, do you know what that means? A Check should — A — of pulled; yeah, check should have been pulled. A — of pulled; yeah, check should have been pulled. B Q So does that tell you that when the debtor yeas told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? A That's what I'm reading. Q That would be a violation of the check handling process; correct? A Yes. G Goling to the bottom of the next page— Page 254 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? MR. HOMER: This is 982. MR. HOMER: Noos like to me. A 1 1175, yeah. C Gring to page 1175, at the top of the page there for Julie Rees, it is syst, No money/multi NSF. Would that be a — A The reason the check bounced was because the there was no money. MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. The With ISSRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. ISRAEL: Oh, The sorry. MR. ISRAEL: She gode 982. MR. ISRAEL: She gode you the full list, this whole document, that indicate that it was this to somebody and hoped that they would look at it very carefully. It hink I didn't get this one — I don't remember seeing this one in detail. I think I saw this document, the other one. G Goling to page 1175, at the top of the page — Page 254 A 1175, yeah. Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. I don't want to go through it all because it is getting late. A 1 175, okay. I at the reason the check bounced was because there was no money. What does it mean? MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. The Withless: I's page 982. MR. ISRAEL: Oh, Tm sorry. MR. ISRAEL: She gode that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't man redeposit the same item. MR. HOMER: I didn't set this one — I don't emember seeing this toe in this detail. I think I saw this document, this the other one. A 1175, yeah. A 1175, okay. A 1175, okay. A 1175, okay. A 1175, okay. A 1176 form money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? A 1176 okay. A 117	11		11	A Perhaps we did an investigation and found
14			12	that was not the case. This is just her preliminary
15 Q Should have been pulled. 16 A - of pulled; yeah, check should have been 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor was told that there weren't mories on 12/12 and yet the 20 check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 21 A That's what Tim reading. 22 Q That would be a violation of the check 23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page 26 Q Fin just trying to go through some examples. 3 BY MR. HOMER: This is 982. 3 BY MR. HOMER: This is 982. 4 Q it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 4 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. 12 A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. 13 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 11 A No, does not. 12 A No, does not. 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks. 21 different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 25 MR. ISRAEL: Set didn't testify she got the full itst, this was the door that they would look at it wory carefully. 20 A That would be a violation of pale of that they would look at it very carefully. 21 Think I didn't say this itist. was resubdy and hoped that they would look at it very carefully. 22 Think I saw this document, the death. I don't remem	13	should CF, do you know what that means?	13	giving to me.
16 A of pulled; yeah, check should have been 17 pulled. 17 pulled. 18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor 18 Was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the 19 was told that they would look at it very carefully. 18 A I don't know if she gave me all of it, but whe was told that they would look at it very carefully. 19 Think should if yet this one — I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't ent of the other one. 10 A II.75, yeah. 21 A Knew check no good, moved DCI. 32 If with it is of the the treme was no money. 33 BY MR. HOMER: I don't went have that w	14		14	Q This is her preliminary?
17 pulled. 18	15		15	A This is what she gave to me.
18 Q So does that tell you that when the debtor was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 21 A That's what I'm reading. 22 Q That would be a violation of the check 23 handling process; correct? 23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page 26 Page 254 1 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 27 MR. HOMER: This is 982. 28 MR. HOMER: This is 982. 39 MR. HOMER: This is 982. 40 A Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 50 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it looks like to me. 50 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 51 A The reason the check bounced was because 11 there was no money. 52 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 54 A No, does not. 55 A No, does not. 66 A No, does not. 67 A What does it mean? 68 A What does it mean? 69 A Mildi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks, leave the check handling policy; correct? MR. HOMER: 1 didn't save this too me in this tet at it very carefully. 11 I think I didn't get this one in this it is the often in. I don't member seeing this one in this detail. I think I saw this document, the the the often one	16	 A of pulled; yeah, check should have been 	16	Q She gave you the full list, this whole
19 was told that there weren't monies on 12/12 and yet the check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 21 A That's what I'm reading. 22 Q That would be a violation of the check 23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page 26 Page 254 1 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 27 MR. HOMER: This is 982. 28 BY MR. HOMER: 29 A Knew check no good, moved DCI. 30 Books like to me. 40 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 31 By Mr. How of the page there for Julie Rees, 11 Would that be a 32 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 31 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it was resubmitted several times? 32 A No, does not. 33 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 34 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it was resubmitted several times? 35 A No, does not. 36 A No, does not. 37 Q Fin not good the policy; correct? 38 A No, does not. 39 Q A MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 30 Going do who where it says Annie Hunt, 10 Q That's a violation of policy? 31 A No, does not. 32 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, 2d different nonsufficient fund checks, 3d fifterent nonsufficient fund checks, 4d fifterent nonsufficient fund checks, 5d fifterent nonsufficient fund checks, 5d fifterent fifterent of fifterent	17	pulled.	17	document, Exhibit 15?
check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled? 1 A That's what I'm reading. 2 Q That would be a violation of the check 2 handling process; correct? 2 A Yes. 2 Going to the bottom of the next page 2 Page 254	18	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18	A I don't know if she gave me all of it, but
21 A That's what I'm reading. 22 Q That would be a violation of the check 23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page 26 Page 254 27 Page 254 28 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 29 MR. HOMER: 20 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 20 MR. HOMER: 21 A 1175, yeah. 22 Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. 23 I don't want to go through it all because it is getting late. 25 A 1175, okay. 26 Q There it says, 2 bounces in a row, never verified funds; correct? 27 A Knew check no good, moved DCI, that's what it looks like to me. 28 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, it says, No money/multi NSF. 29 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, it says, No money/multi NSF. 30 Would that be a 31 Un-huh. 31 Q Never verified funds; correct? 32 A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. 32 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it was resubmitted several times? 30 Q What does it mean? 31 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 32 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund chec	19		19	she gave this to somebody and hoped that they would look
22 Q That would be a violation of the check handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page Page 254 Page 254 Page 255 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? MR. HOMER: This is 982. 2 Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. 3 I don't want to go through it all because it is getting late. 5 A 1175, okay. 6 Q There it says, 2 bounces in a row. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a The would be correct. The would be a violation of the policy; correct? The would be a violation of policy? The would be a vi	20	check was dated 12/31 and it wasn't pulled?	20	at it very carefully.
23 handling process; correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Going to the bottom of the next page Page 254 Page 254 1 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 2 MR. HOMER: This is 982. 2 BY MR. HOMER: 3 BY MR. HOMER: 4 Q it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. 12 A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. 13 What does not. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it was resubmitted several times? 15 WAR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: 1 don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks, late of the other one. 2 Q Going to page 1175, at the top of the page 2 Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. 3 I don't want to go through it all because it is getting late. 4 late. 5 A 1175, okay. 6 Q There it says, 2 bounces in a row, never overfied funds; corr		A That's what I'm reading.	21	I think I didn't get this one I don't
Page 254 Page 254 RR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? RR. HOMER: This is 982. Page 256 1 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? RR. HOMER: This is 982. BY MR. HOMER: This is 982. BY MR. HOMER: This is 982. BY MR. HOMER: Consistent that the collector Submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it looks like to me. Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, it says, No money/multi NSF. Would that be a A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. A Rome of the page that indicate that it was resubmitted several times? A No, does not. Q What does it mean? A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsuf		-	22	remember seeing this one in this detail. I don't remember
Page 254 Page 256 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? MR. HOMER: This is 982. MR. HOMER: MR. HOMER: This is 982. MR. HOMER: This is 982. MR. HOMER: MR. HOMER: This is 982. MR. HOMER: MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? I A 1175, yeah. Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. I I A 1175, okay. Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. I I A 1175, okay. Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. I I A 1175, okay. Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. I I A 1175, okay. Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. I I A 1175, okay. Q There it says, 2 bounces in a row, never verified funds. A Two bounces in a row. Q Never verified funds; correct? A Uh-hub. I Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? A That would be correct. I A No, does not. Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it was resubmitted several times? A No, does not. Q What does it mean? MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. THE WITNESS: It's page 982. MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsuffic	23	handling process; correct?	23	seeing this one in detail. I think I saw this document,
Page 254 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? MR. HOMER: This is 982. BY MR. HOMER: Does that reflect that the collector submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it looks like to me. Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, it says, No money/multi NSF. Would that be a A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. A Multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it was resubmitted several times? MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. THE WITNESS: It's page 982. MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. Page 256 A 1175, yeah. A 1175, okay. A 1175, okay	1		24	the other one.
1 MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number? 2 MR. HOMER: This is 982. 3 BY MR. HOMER: 4 Q it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it 8 looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 25 MR. HOMER: 26 MR. HOMER: 27 MR. HOMER: 28 Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. 3 I don't want to go through it all because it is getting late. 5 A 1175, okay. 6 Verrified funds. 8 A Two bounces in a row. 9 Q Never verified funds; correct? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 12 A That would be correct. 13 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it. 19 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 22 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 24 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	25	Q Going to the bottom of the next page	25	Q Going to page 1175, at the top of the page
2 MR. HOMER: This is 982. 3 BY MR. HOMER: 4 Q it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it 8 looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks, dulti NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 21 BY MR. HOMER: 22 Q I'm just trying to go through some examples. 3 I don't want to go through it all because it is getting 4 late. 5 A 1175, okay. 6 Q There it says, 2 bounces in a row, never verified funds; correct? 7 verified funds. 8 A Two bounces in a row. 9 Q Never verified funds; correct? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 12 A That would be correct. 13 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 14 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 15 Where it says that? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it. 19 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 22 correct? 23 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 24 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.		Page 254		Page 256
3 I don't want to go through it all because it is getting 4 Q it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it 8 looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSF wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 21 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 22 MR. HOMER: 1 didn't say this list.	1	MR. ISRAEL: What Bates number?	1	A 1175, yeah.
3 I don't want to go through it all because it is getting 4 Q it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it 8 looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 18 I don't want to go through it all because it is getting late. 5 A 1175, okay. 6 Q There it says, 2 bounces in a row, never verified funds. 8 A Two bounces in a row. 9 Q Never verified funds; 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 12 A That would be correct. 13 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 14 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 15 Where it says, 1do. 17 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 Q Tm not going to go through the rest of it. 19 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 20 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 21 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 22 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	2	MR. HOMER: This is 982.	2	Q I'm just trying to go through some examples.
5 Does that reflect that the collector 6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it 8 looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 16 Q There it says, 2 bounces in a row, never verified funds. 8 A Two bounces in a row. 9 Q Never verified funds; correct? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 12 A That would be correct. 13 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, 14 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 15 where it says that? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it. 20 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 21 A MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 22 this list. She got a list. 23 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	3	BY MR. HOMER:	3	
6 submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good? 7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it 8 looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted everal times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITINESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, 23 different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 6 Q There it says, 2 bounces in a row, never verified funds. 8 A Two bounces in a row. 9 Q Never verified funds; correct? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 12 A That would be correct. 13 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, 14 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 15 where it says that? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it. 19 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 22 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 23 this list. She got a list. 24 this list. She got a list.	4	 Q it says, Knew check no good, moved DCI. 	4	late.
7 A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it 8 looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. 23 different nonsufficient fund checks. 24 mean redeposit the same item. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 16 A That would be correct. 17 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, 18 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 19 What does it mean? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 12 A That would be correct. 13 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, 14 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 15 where it says that? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it. 19 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 20 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 21 this list. She got a list. 22 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	5	Does that reflect that the collector	5	A 1175, okay.
8 looks like to me. 9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 8 A Two bounces in a row. 9 Q Never verified funds; correct? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 12 A That would be correct. 13 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, 14 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 15 where it says that? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 G The world be a violation of policy? 19 D That would be a violation of policy? 20 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 21 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 22 this list. She got a list. 23 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	6	submitted it knowing it wouldn't be good?	6	Q There it says, 2 bounces in a row, never
9 Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees, 10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 Q That would be a violation of the policy; correct? 11 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 15 where it says that? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 19 Q Tm not going to go through the rest of it. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, 22 different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't eman redeposit the same item. 23 MR. HOMER: 24 MR. HOMER: 25 BY MR. HOMER: 26 MR. HOMER: 27 MR. HOMER: 28 Never verified funds; correct? 29 A Uh-huh. 21 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 21 A That would be correct. 21 A That would be correct. 22 A That would be correct. 23 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, 24 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 25 By MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that it 26 A That would be a violation of policy; 27 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; 28 Correct? 29 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 29 this list. She got a list. 29 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	7	A Knew check no good, moved DCI; that's what it	7	verified funds.
it says, No money/multi NSF. Would that be a The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. A The reason the check bounced was because there was no money. A That would be correct. Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, was resubmitted several times? A No, does not. B A Yes, I do. That would be a violation of policy? B A Yes. B Urm not going to go through the rest of it. B But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. BY MR. HOMER: MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	8	looks like to me.	8	A Two bounces in a row.
10 it says, No money/multi NSF. 11 Would that be a 12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 19 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 21 MR. HOMER: 22 MR. HOMER: 23 MR. HOMER: 24 MR. HOMER: 26 MR. HOMER: 27 MR. HOMER: 28 MR. HOMER: 29 MR. HOMER: 30 A Uh-huh. 31 Q That's a violation of the policy; correct? 4 That would be correct. 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 4 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 5 Where it says that? 6 A Yes, I do. 7 Q That would be a violation of policy? 8 A Yes. 9 Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it. 9 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't t	9	Q At the top of the page there for Julie Rees,	9	Q Never verified funds; correct?
12 A The reason the check bounced was because 13 there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 21 A That would be correct. 13 Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, 14 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 15 where it says that? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it. 20 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 21 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 22 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	10	it says, No money/multi NSF.	10	
there was no money. 14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 21 MR. HOMER: 22 MR. HOMER: 23 MR. HOMER: 24 MR. HOMER: 25 MR. HOMER: 26 Going down to where it says Annie Hunt, 27 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 28 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 29 Verified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, 20 And multi NSF, shail Hunt, 26 A Yes, I do. 27 Q That would be a violation of policy? 28 A Yes. 29 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; 22 correct? 23 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 24 this list. She got a list. 25 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.		. Would that be a	11	Q That's a violation of the policy; correct?
14 Q And multi NSF, doesn't that indicate that it 15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WTTNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 21 Werified no money with bank, still ran. Do you see that, where it says that? 22 That would be a violation of policy? 23 If a Yes. 24 Pes. 25 By MR. HOMER: 26 What does it mean? 27 Q That would be a violation of policy? 28 A Yes. 29 Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it. 20 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 26 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 27 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.		A The reason the check bounced was because	12	A That would be correct.
15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 21 Where it says that? 12 A Yes, I do. 13 Q That would be a violation of policy? 18 A Yes. 19 Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it. 20 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 22 correct? 23 different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 24 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 25 BY MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	13	•	13	Q Going down to where it says Annie Hunt,
15 was resubmitted several times? 16 A No, does not. 17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 21 Where it says that? 22 That would be a violation of policy? 23 If a Yes. 24 But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? 25 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 26 BY MR. HOMER: 27 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	14		14	•
17 Q What does it mean? 18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WTTNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. 21 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. 22 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.		was resubmitted several times?	15	where it says that?
18 MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page. 19 THE WITNESS: It's page 982. 20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were 22 multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, 23 different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't 24 mean redeposit the same item. 21 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 24 this list. She got a list. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 26 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.			16	A Yes, I do.
THE WTTNESS: It's page 982. MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	17	Q What does it mean?	17	Q That would be a violation of policy?
20 MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were 22 multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, 23 different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't 24 mean redeposit the same item. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 20 But you got this list showing that collectors from several 21 different offices had violated the check handling policy; 22 correct? 23 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 24 this list. She got a list. 25 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.		MR. ISRAEL: I don't even have that page.	18	A Yes.
MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't mean redeposit the same item. BY MR. HOMER: But you got this list showing that collectors from several different offices had violated the check handling policy; correct? MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got this list. She got a list. MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	19		19	Q I'm not going to go through the rest of it.
21 A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were 22 multiple NSFs on that account, nonsufficient fund checks, 23 different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't 24 mean redeposit the same item. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 21 different offices had violated the check handling policy; 22 correct? 23 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 24 this list. She got a list. 25 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.			20	
23 different nonsufficient fund checks. Multi NSFs wouldn't 24 mean redeposit the same item. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 26 MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got 27 this list. She got a list. 28 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.		A Multi NSF, what that means is that there were	21	
24 mean redeposit the same item. 24 this list. She got a list. 25 BY MR. HOMER: 25 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.		= 1	22	correct?
24 mean redeposit the same item.24 this list. She got a list.25 BY MR. HOMER:25 MR. HOMER: I didn't say this list.	1		23	MR. ISRAEL: She didn't testify she got
			24	
	25			

Page 257 Page 259 1 I don't remember seeing this one. Yeah, I'm specifically whether other job description summaries were 2 sure whether I saw this one. issued for people doing these things or --2 3 I got a list. 3 Did you examine when you did your 4 BY MR. HOMER: 4 investigation the role of the general collection managers 5 Q What was done to address the violations that in the other offices and their involvement in these 5 6 took place in the other offices? 6 violations? 7 Restatement of -- what was done in the other 7 Α Yes. 8 offices to address the problems; right? 8 o What did you conclude? Were there general 9 Q 9 collection managers that were also at fault in other 10 Α Is that what you are asking me? 10 offices for these violations? 11 O Yes. Were there collection managers at fault in 11 Α 12 Restatement of procedures, finding out why Α 12 other offices --13 these things had been done. This explanation may not 13 Q For these violations of the NSF check be -- the brief explanation that you see either on this 14 14 handling policy? 15 one or over here, either way, may not be the full story, 15 Were there; I don't recall. 16 may not be complete. 16 Were there any other general collection 17 Are you saying that in this Document 15, that 17 managers at any of the other offices that were of 18 there may be an explanation why wherever there is a 18 African-American descent? collector - for all the different collectors, there may 19 19 Were there other collection managers at other 20 be an explanation why --20 offices that were of African-American descent? 21 Α No. 21 Other than Valerie Hue, were any of the 22 Q - they really weren't at fault? 22 general collection managers in December 2003 of 23 No, I'm not saying that in every case. I'm 23 African-American descent? just saying there may have been further information that I 24 24 was able to provide to Dina about these -- what she had 25 MR. ISRAEL: Who was running Tucson? Who Page 258 Page 260 uncovered to begin with. 1 was the collection manager there? 2 Well, you did you personally look into each 2 Yeah, I take that back. 3 one of the problem checks that Dina Loft identified in MR. HOMER: Dave, you are going to have a 3 4 January of 2004? 4 chance for cross. 5 Did I look into each one of them; I looked at 5 No; no; no. I'm just trying to -- see, we the report that she gave me and looked at each one of 6 moved the collectors -- what we did, we moved the 6 7 them. collectors into Tucson, all right, when we shut down San. 8 So you would have been in a position to know Diego. So ultimately, the collectors came under Joe that there were violations of the check handling policy in 9 Batie's purview, and Joe Batie was the branch manager. 10 other offices, and you were in a position to know that in 10 BY MR. HOMER: 11 January 2004? 11 Q But he wasn't --12 Α Yes. 12 A I take that. 13 Q What disciplinary action was taken against 13 Q But he wasn't a general collection manager? 14 individuals in the other offices regarding violations of 14 He was responsible -- I take that back. He 15 the NSF policy? 15 was responsible for the collectors, because we didn't need 16 Α What additional disciplinary action was an acting GCM with him being there. We only had a few --17 taken? 17 a few collectors, so they fell under his guise. 18 Q Disciplinary action of any kind. 18 What other general collection managers were 19 A Disciplinary action of any kind: of African-American descent, other than Valerie Hue, 19 specifically, I don't remember of any other disciplinary 20 20 throughout the time you were there? 21 action of any kind. 21 The -- throughout the time that I was there, 22 There was the termination of Matt Lane --22 the gentleman in Chicago, whose name I cannot -- just 23 Q All right. 23 totally --24 -- that I remember specifically. There may 24 Q Who was the other one that got fired?

have been other disciplinary action. I cannot remember

Yes, he was the other one that got fired, Joe

25

				3/16/06 - Kauty Obenshain
		Page 261		Page 263
1	Batie.		1	there. I'm just thinking through the offices, Tucson,
2	Q	Was there any other was there any general	2	Metairie, while I was in that position; Atlanta, Tampa.
3	-	n manager that worked for NCO that did not get	3	Yeah, what is his name? He works for used
4		at was African-American?	4	to work in used to work in Baltimore, moved back to New
5	Α	General collection manager that got fired	5	Orleans, was working for Vera Cornell (phonetic).
6	Q	That didn't get fired.	6	MR, ISRAEL: What office?
7	•	Was there ever a general collection manager,	7	THE WITNESS: Vera Cornell.
8	while yo	ou were at NCO, that was African-American that	8	A He works in Metairie; Mike Reginald. Mike
9	didn't ge	·	9	Reginald was removed from the, at that point, Odenton
10	Α	Who didn't get fired; well, Joe Batie was	10	office and moved back to Metairie.
11	acting as	s general collection manager; he's not been fired.	11	BY MR. HOMER:
12	Q	Well, other than Joe Batie.	12	Q Does that cover it?
13	Ā	Other than Joe Batie. I'm trying to think if	13	A Yes.
14	there we	ere any other ones; general collection managers,	14	Q In January of '04, how many general
15	no.		15	collection managers in your division were female?
16	Q	Were there any white general collection	16	A How many general collection managers in my
17	manage	rs that ever got fired while you were at NCO?	17	division were female; I don't recall whether Penny was one
18	A	While I was at NCO?	18	at that point in time, Penny Loftin in Tampa, or not.
19	Q	Yes, general collection managers.	19	But it was Penny Loftin and Valerie Hue.
20	A	Absolutely.	20	Q Before January '04, how many other general
21	Q	Who were they?	21	collection managers were female, while you were there?
22	Α	Greg Cavalcante, for one.	22	A Myself. I didn't have a general collection
23	Q	What did he get fired for?	23	manager; had a finals manager, Mary Landry. She was
24	Α	Covering up a situation in the office whereby	24	responsible for a very huge department, which was almost
25	one of h	is managers had one of the employees in the office	25	the same as a general collection manager, just called a
		Page 262		Page 264
1	after ho	ours with questionable with questionable	1	different division called finals; Mary Landry.
2	behavio	r.	2	Prior to her you had Denise LeBlanc, also
3	Q	Anybody else that was fired that was a white	3	responsible for the finals department, huge department,
4	general	collection manager while you were there?	4	finals; and legal, as a matter of fact, Denise was
5	Α	While I was there; when we shut down the San	5	responsible for.
6	Diego bi	ranch.	6	Q Other than the two general managers that you
7	Q	He was laid off?	7	mentioned, Valerie Hue and the general manager in Chicago
8	Α	Yeah, he was.	8	that were African-American, were there any other
9	Q	Along with other people?	9	minorities, you know, such as Asians or any other type
10	Α	Yes. He wasn't offered another general	10	minority that was employed as a general collection manager
11	collectio	n manager position anywhere.	11	employed by NCO, if you recall?
12		Let me think; Tampa.	12	A Employed by NCO?
13	Q	What was that one?	13	Q As a general collection manager.
14	Α	That was what was his name, gosh, before	14	A As a general collection manager.
15	Penny	- good lord; I don't remember his name. Sorry. He	15	MR. ISRAEL: In the commercial division?
16	was rep	laced by Penny Loftin.	16	MR. HOMER: Yes.
17	Q	When was he fired?	17	A Oh, in the commercial division? Let me
18	Α	I couldn't give you the date.	18	think.
19	Q	Do you know what he was fired for?	19	MR. ISRAEL: Objection; relevance.
20	Α	As I recall, just not performing, just not	20	A I can't think of any.
21		ng, not producing. Let me think. That's it for	21	BY MR. HOMER:
22		will have to	22	Q If a general collection manager knowingly
23	Q	Were there any	23	violated the check handling policy for redipping NSFs, was
24	Α.	I'm trying to remember others. There were	24	that grounds for termination?
25	none in	- Steve Ross, that didn't occur while I was	25	A Knowingly violated the policy for

3/16/06 - Kathy Obenshain

67 (Pages 265 to 268)

Γ'''		1	
	Page 265		Page 267
1	redepositing checks; it could be.	1	what's in the statements, and you didn't even get those
2	Q It was in the case of Valerie Hue; correct?	2	statements?
3	A Valerie Hue specifically was terminated	3	MR. ISRAEL: Objection;
4	because she told people to violate the policy.	4	mischaracterization; argumentative.
5	Q Okay.	5	Go ahead and answer.
6	A She specifically told them to do it, gave	6	A I do can answer you because shortly after
7	them specific instructions to ignore everything.	7	this whole situation occurred, I went to Dover to make
8	Q Who told you that?	8	sure that Kim Marlow understood what her responsibilities
9	A All the statements.	9	were. And she was terrified that she was going to do the
10	Q I never saw a statement where somebody said,	10	same things that Valerie had done, because she had been
11 12	she told us to totally ignore everything. A She told —	11	told by Valerie to do things a certain way for so very
13	MR. ISRAEL: Wait a minute.	12	long. So, yes, did I have interaction with these people
14	Don't get into an argument with him. Just	13 14	that made these statements; absolutely,
15	testify. It's late in the day.	15	BY MR. HOMER: Q Did you ever have any discussion with Ted Fox
16	THE WITNESS: Got it.	16	Q Did you ever have any discussion with Ted Fox about possibly taking disciplinary action in some of the
17	BY MR. HOMER:	17	other offices regarding the check handling violations that
18	Q Let me just put it this way: You don't have	18	are reflected in this Exhibit 15?
19	any knowledge beyond what's in the written statements that	19	A Did I have any discussion with Ted Fox over
20	we've gone through; correct?	20	disciplinary action with any other of the people —
21	MR. ISRAEL: And other than her testifying	21	Q Yes, the possibility.
22	today, what they told her and what she learned in	22	A The possibility; I don't recall.
23	her investigation and everything that you heard	23	Q Can you explain to me why we have this long
24	today?	24	list of collector violations, and you don't recall a
25	BY MR. HOMER;	25	single person, other than the two individuals in Dover,
	Page 266		Page 268
1	Q Is there any statement that you got from a	1	being disciplined?
2	witness during your investigation that is not in	2	A Why
3	related to Valerie Hue's wrongdoing that is not reflected	3	MR. ISRAEL: Wait. Which two individuals?
4	in the documents that are part of Exhibit 11?	4	MR. HOMER: Mr. Lane and Valerie Hue.
5	A Are there any other statements that	5	Those are the only two she's identified that got
6	MR. ISRAEL: Is that the EEOC position	6	disciplined.
7	statement?	7	A Why do I not recall
8	MR. HOMER: That is.	8	BY MR. HOMER:
9	MR. ISRAEL: If you know.	9	Q No. Can you explain why it is
10	A That I know of, no; I don't know of any. I don't recall.	10	A Why
12	BY MR. HOMER:	11	Q that we have this long list of violations
13	Q You said you didn't really recall any of	12	of the check handling policy, and only those two
14	those statements being made to you; correct? You weren't	13 14	individuals were disciplined? A Why do I think it happened? I can't answer
15	sure whether you got the statements or whether Ted Fox got	15	that other people were not disciplined. I can't tell you
16	them?	16	that other people did not receive JDSs. I can't answer
17	MR. ISRAEL: Objection. It's been asked	17	that that didn't happen.
18	and answered numerous times.	18	Q Well, this was your division. You were in
19	MR. HOMER: I just want to make sure.	19	charge of it; right?
20	MR. ISRAEL: Well, you've already heard	20	A Correct.
21	her. She keeps telling you.	21	Q You did this investigation?
22	MR. HOMER: I'm laying a little foundation	22	A Correct.
23	here.	23	Q You learned that there were multiple
24	BY MR. HOMER:	24	violations by collectors in all the offices basically, in
25	Q You didn't have any knowledge, other than	25	most of the offices, at least?

	e vs. Neo Financial Systems		3/16/06 - Kathy Obenshain
	Page 277	<u>'</u>	Page 279
1	Q the mid-balance manager telling you;	1	even heard that they were friends.
2	right?	2	Q Did you ever hear those allegations by anyone
3	A Uh-huh; uh-huh.	3	besides Miss Hue?
4	Q Say "yes."	4	A No.
5	A Yes.	5	Q Have you ever had a GCM instruct collectors
6	Q Kim Marlow made similar reports; correct?	6	to intentionally violate policies other than Miss Hue?
7	A Yes.	7	A Not to my knowledge; none that I'm aware of,
8	Q Did Miss Hue have any explanation as to these	8	no.
9	allegations being made by the other managers and by the	9	Q Were you ever aware of other managers, even
10	other collectors in the office? What did she say about	10	if they are not GCMs, having collectors violate policies?
11	all that?	11	A Other managers specifically telling
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12	collectors to violate policy?
13	Q Did she have any explanation at all?	13	Q Yes.
14	A Nothing.	14	A Do I know of any; not that I can not that
15	Q Did she ever complain that it was because of	15	I remember and can detail specifically.
16	sex or race that these issues were being raised?	16	Q When you learned about what Valerie Hue had
17	A Never.	17	done, were you upset?
18	Q In the time that you supervised Miss Hue when	18	A Very.
19	she was the GCM, what was Mr. Fox's role while Weaver was	19	Q Why?
20 21	your boss? A What was Mr. Fox's role with regard to	20	A Because she had violated the rules. She had
22	A What was Mr. Fox's role with regard to Valerie Hue or	21	done something immoral in my opinion, it was immoral.
23		22	It was tantamount to stealing, and she made everybody look
24	Q In the division. What was Ted Fox's job? A He was responsible for sales offices and for	23	ridiculous and violate relations with our dients and put
25		24	all of her collectors in jeopardy, in a nutshell.
23	sales managers. He had no interaction with with the	25	Q You testified that you spearheaded the
	Page 278		Page 280
1	GCMs. He would come to me if he had something he wanted	1	recommendation to fire Miss Hue.
2	to discuss with them. So he didn't interact with him.	2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q At any time prior to Miss Hue's discharge,	3	Q Is that fair?
4	did she ever complain that Mr. Fox was mistreating her in	4	A Yes, I did.
5	any way?	5	Q Why?
6	A Never.	6	A Why; because I did not want to have a manager
7	Q Retaliating in any way?	7	in that position telling people to, number one, break the
В	A No.	8	rules, violate policy in order to drive revenue, and
9	Q Did you know of any friction or issue between	9	jeopardize all of our relationships with clients and the
10	the two of them, until issues were alleged in this	10	collectors.
11	lawsuit?	11	I just didn't want to have anybody like that
12	A Until issues were alleged in this lawsuit is	12	working for me. She violated all the rules and told
13	the first time I have heard anything.	13	people to do it.
14	Q And regardless it's the first you've heard of	14	MR. ISRAEL: Pass the witness.
15	anything, did you ever witness anything that in any way	15	MR. HOMER: I have a couple more.
16	gave you concern that Fox was retaliating or treating	16	RE-EXAMINATION
17	Miss Hue badly?	17	BY MR. HOMER:
18	A No.	18	Q Were you upset when you learned that other
19	Q Do you know who Bill Savage is?	19	collectors outside the Dover office were violating the
20	A I know the name. I've never met the man.	20	check handling policies?
21	Q Do you have any information that Mr. Fox and	21	A Was I upset to know that other collectors
22	Bill Savage were special friends or business associates?	22	outside of always. You can ask anybody that ever
23	Did you hear that?	23	worked for me. There are other people that have been
24	A I don't think I ever even heard it until, you	24	terminated for violating policies and for anybody; you
25	know, this this these allegations. I never	25	can ask anybody
	ŕ	>	1/4 Q 70 (Pages 277 to 200)

	43. NCO I mancial Systems		
	Page 281	l	Page 283
1	Q You testified that all the collectors knew	1	collectors, they must have known they were
2	the policies; correct?	2	violating them; correct?
3	A I testified that all the collectors knew the	3	MR. ISRAEL: Okay, that's an incorrect
4	policies; yes.	4	summary. You haven't even established which
4 5	Q So when you saw the report of all the	5	report she looked at, and Miss Obenshain couldn't
6	collectors who were violating the policies around the	6	have been more clear that she did not know the
7	country, did that upset you, to find that out?	7	specifics.
8	A Did it upset me to find out that they were	8	MR. HOMER: Okay. I think what you are
9	I can't yes, it upset me to find out we had this many	9	saying is improper. I think you are impeding my
10	nonsufficient fund checks, as much as anything. The	10	examination. I think it's pretty obvious that
11	details about each one of them became very clear. I can't	11	she understands the question, and it's a proper
12	say that each instance was a violation. I mean, we had to	12	question.
13	peel back the onion on a lot of them.	13	MR. ISRAEL: Well
14	Q When a collector violated a policy	14	MR. HOMER: But given the time of day, I'm
15	A Uh-huh.	15	going to conclude my examination.
16	 Q if I understand this correctly, it would 	16	MR. ISRAEL: Let me ask this question.
17	have had to have been intentional, because all the	17	MR. HOMER: That's fine.
18	collectors understood the policies?	18	RE-EXAMINATION
19	A It would have to have been intentional	19	BY MR. ISRAEL:
20	because all the collectors understood the policies; I	20	Q From your view and your knowledge relating to
21	presume that's your yes, I'd say.	21	all facts and circumstance regarding Miss Hue's
22	Q Did it upset you to learn that collectors	22	separation, do you have any belief that any discrimination
23	around the country were intentionally violating the	23	or retaliation played in any part of the decision?
24	policy?	24	A No.
25	MR. ISRAEL: Objection; mischaracterization	25	MR. ISRAEL: Okay.
	Page 282		Page 284
1	of the testimony in evidence.	1	MR. HOMER: Are you done?
2	There's never been established that they	2	MR. ISRAEL: I'll pass the witness.
3	are all violating the policy.	3	MR. HOMER: Okay, I'm done.
4	A I mean, just	4	So I need to instruct the witness that you
5	MR. ISRAEL: One second.	5	have the right to review the transcript of this
6	MR. HOMER: I didn't say they were all	6	deposition once the court reporter has it
7	violating the policy.	7	prepared. You will have an opportunity, if you
8	A Right, uh-huh.	8	find any mistakes in the transcript, to make
9	MR. ISRAEL: She's already testified.	9	changes.
10	MR. HOMER: Dave, you are just suggesting	10	You also have the right to waive that
11	the answer to the witness. It's improper.	11	opportunity. There's no obligation to review the
12	MR. ISRAEL: But you are intentionally,	12	transcript and make changes.
13	late in the day, mischaracterization previous	13	But you do have to tell us what you want to
14	testimony	14	do. And my question is: Do you want to have an
15	MR. HOMER: I'm not	15	opportunity to review the transcript?
16	MR. ISRAEL: on unfair	16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	cross-examination.	17	MR. HOMER: Okay.
18	MR. HOMER: She said she reviewed the	18	MR. ISRAEL: If you send me the transcript,
19	report; she discovered that there were and we	19	I'll make sure she gets it.
20	went through some examples today. She discovered	20	(Deposition concluded at 4:40 p.m.)
21	there were other problems in other offices. She	21	
22	doesn't know whether people got disciplined or	22	1
23	not, but she was aware of the problems. She's	23	
24	testified that the collectors knew the policies.	24	
25	If there were violations of the policies by other	25	

ORIGINÁ!

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

VALERIE HUE, Plaintiff,

v.

C.A. No. 05-225-KAJ

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation, trading as NCO FINANCIAL COMMERCIAL SERVICES,) Defendant.

Telephone deposition of BRADFORD REAVIS, taken before Cheryl A. Anthony, Court Reporter, in the law offices of Parkowski, Guerke & Swayze, 116 West Water Street, Dover, Delaware, on Wednesday, February 1, 2006, beginning at 11:01 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

PARKOWSKI, GUERKE & SWAYZE JEREMY W. HOMER, ESQUIRE 116 West Water Street Dover, Delaware 19901 Attorney for Plaintiff.

BY TELEPHONE:

SESSIONS, FISHMAN & NATHAN DAVID ISRAEL, ESQUIRE 3850 North Causeway Boulevard Lakeway Two, Suite 1240 Metairie, Louisiana 70002-1752 Attorney for Defendant.

ALSO PRESENT:

MR. ERIC SHAW

ORIGINAL RETAINED BY JEREMY W. HOMER, ESQUIRE

ANTHONY REPORTING PO Box 234 Dover, Delaware 19903 (302) 674-8884

talking about a check that has been returned for not having sufficient funds and then resubmitting that for payment again. That is the redepping of NSF, correct?

A. Correct.

R

- Q. What was NCO's policy, to your understanding, in December of 2003 regarding the procedures for redepping NSF?
- A. My understanding of the policy was if the check was returned, we were first supposed to try to get certified funds. But if we called the bank and the bank said they were available, we could redeposit it.

Also, sometimes if we called the debtor and they said they were putting the money in, we could also go to our manager and get the okay to put it in. And that is a possibility that we would put it in, even though I think the policy strictly was supposed to be certified funds. We were supposed to call the bank to make sure the funds were available.

Q. So your understanding was you were supposed to get bank verification that the funds were available or have a certified check in order to redep an NSF check? That was the official policy?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you see a written policy to that effect?

- A. No, the checking account.
- Q. Oh, the checking account. Okay. And this practice that you mention of redepping the checks
- 4 sometimes without contacting the debtor, how long had
- 5 that gone on at the Dover office prior to December of
- 6 2003?

was?

1

10

16

23

24

- 7 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Would it have been back 14 years, or would it have been -- Do you have any idea how many years it
- A. It's not 14 years, no. I would guess -- I
 mean right now, I'm guessing, because when you are there
 13 14 years, they all run together. But I would imagine it
 probably started around the time that the collectors did
 DCIs on their own.
 - Q. Do you know when that was?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. Would it have been 2003 or 2002? Do you have any idea at all?
- A. All the years run into one another. I would imagine -- I don't know; one or two years before that, but I couldn't say specifically.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know whether Kathy Obenshain was aware that people were or collectors were requesting

1 THE WITNESS: The same time she told us to make sure our checks go in, the bounced checks go in by 2 3 the end of the month. BY MR. HOMER: 4 5 ο. Well, you didn't always resubmit NSF checks 6 for repayment, did you? 7 Not every one, no. 8 So sometimes you did, and sometimes you 9 didn't. And Valerie Hue didn't tell you to resubmit all 10 the checks every time, did she? 11 MR. ISRAEL: Asked and answered. 12 THE WITNESS: She didn't talk to me about 13 every single check, no. 14 BY MR. HOMER: 15 Well, you didn't redep NSF checks sometimes, Q. 16 correct? 17 MR. ISRAEL: Asked and answered. 18 THE WITNESS: If I knew there was no way it 19 was going to clear, no, I would not redep it. 20 BY MR. HOMER: 21 Q. Okay. She didn't tell you to redep it in 22 that situation, did she? 23 No. You are talking about if an account was 24 closed or the people were out of business, no, we didn't A. No.

- Q. Did you see others do that?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. You mentioned in your testimony with Mr. Homer that there were meetings where Valerie Hue discussed the running of checks with the LBs as a group. Do you remember that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What specifically did she tell the group?
- A. We had LB meetings a couple of times a week.

 And I do know specifically there would be times near the end of the month where she would say: If you have checks during the month, make sure they go on by the end of the month.
- Q. What did you understand that specific statement to mean?
- A. If you have a check that is not closed -- My understanding specifically was if you have a check that you can recreate or redeposit by the 31st, make sure you put it in so that we can hit our number.
- Q. And you understood that is what violated NCO's policy?
 - MR. HOMER: I'll object to that question.

KENNETH ROSE

Ì				
1 1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	Page 1		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE			
3	VALERIE HUE,			
4	Plaintiff,)			
5				
6	v.) Civil Action No.			
7	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,) 05-225-KAJ a Delaware corporation,) trading as NCO FINANCIAL)			
8	COMMERCIAL SERVICES,			
9	Defendant.)			
10				
11	Deposition of KENNETH ALAN ROSE taken pursuant to notice at the law offices of Parkowski, Guerke & Swayze, P.A., 116 West Water Street, Dover,			
` [*] 12 	Delaware, beginning at 11:20 a.m. on Wednesday, March 8, 2006, before Robert Wayne Wilcox, Jr., Registered			
13	Professional Reporter and Notary Public.	ľ		
14	APPEARANCES:			
15	JEREMY W. HOMER, ESQ. PARKOWSKI, GUERKE & SWAYZE, P.A.	,		
16	116 West Water Street Dover, Delaware 19903	<u>.</u>		
17	for the Plaintiff,			
18	ELIZABETH K. FITE, ESQ.	in the second se		
19	SESSIONS, FISHMAN & NATHAN, L.L.P. 15316 North Florida Ave - Suite 100	Southern Hammer		
20	Tampa, Florida 33613 for the Defendant.			
21		. 4		
22	CORBETT & WILCOX	@-047 + 677 - 127 P 984-1		
,23	Registered Professional Reporters 1400 French Street Wilmington, DE 19801			
24	(302) 571-0510 www.corbettreporting.com	All sear and the search		

rage roors

Page 12

KENNETH ROSE

6

7

11

12

13

14

20

21

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 10

But what I'm saying is, if you had a group of NSF checks and you redeposited all of them, some of them are going to go through normally. Correct?

MS. FITE: Object to form. You can

5 answer.

1

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. I -- the idea was to get money that cleared the bank.
- Q. What is your understanding of what the policy for resubmitting checks was in the year 2003? I'm talking about NSF checks.
- A. For us to resubmit a check, we had to qualify that the funds would be good in one of two ways or both ways, one with the bank itself, if we could. Some banks don't let us do that. Secondly would be with the debtor themselves. And in doing that, qualify where those funds are coming from in order to do so, that being redeposit the check.
- Q. I'm not sure I follow the last part of whatyou just said there. You say you verify with the debtorthe source of the funds?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. We were dealing with businesses. Businesses relied on income from sales, services, whatever it was

- 1 it wasn't enough for him to say, "Yes, it is. You can
 - resubmit it." You would want to know why it was a goodcheck.
 - A. If your question is if I'm qualifying his
 statement, the answer is yes.
 - Q. I don't understand why you qualified your answer.
 - A. Because that's what we did. We had to qualify what the debtor was saying was in fact what was going to happen, okay, given the circumstance.
 - Q. Was that your personal method of dealing with it or was that NCO's policy that you had to go beyond just getting a debtor's statement? You actually had to find out what the basis of the statement was.
 - A. With regard to that, again, I'm going to go back to being income-driven. You know, policies and procedures, yes, were followed. Would I go a little step further to ensure that I can calculate my commission check for the next month? The answer is yes.
 - Q. So it would have been an extra step to take to ask the debtor why the check would be good?
 - A. It would be part of procedure. But for me, being in my position and being in this business as long as I have, you know, it was just, you know, a natural

Page 11

- 1 that drove that business. They would expect funds at
- certain times. So in qualifying them to redeposit thatcheck, we would ask when they experted that money. And
- 3 check, we would ask when they expected that money. And4 if that money fell into a time frame that allowed us to
- 5 redeposit the check, then I would present that to the
- 6 manager for their approval.7 O. Well, were there ca
 - Q. Well, were there cases where you would just call the debtor and say, "Is this check going to be good or not"? Would that satisfy the requirement to do the debtor verification?
- 11 A. No.

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 12 Q. That wouldn't do it?
- 13 A. Not for me.
- 14 Q. When you say not for you, what do you mean by 15 that?
 - A. Again, being income-driven, okay, if the check didn't clear the bank, there was no benefit to me.
 - Q. In addition to soliciting a statement from a debtor that the funds were good, you would want to know some information that supports his statement that the funds would be good?
- 22 A. What do you mean solicit?
- Q. When you call up the debtor and ask him, "Isthis check going to be good? Can we resubmit the check?"

- Page 13
 course of events in dealing with an insufficient funds
 check.
 - Q. Well, was it NCO's policy for processing the resubmission of NSF checks that you would have to have the debtor explain why the check would be good?
 - A. Part of training was always to qualify the source of funds.
 - Q. So the answer is yes to my question?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Was this policy that you've describedfor redepositing the checks in writing?
- A. I really don't know. There were many policies. A lot of policies were read to us in morning meetings. We may have gotten a memo on them.
 - Q. You don't remember if it's in writing or not?
 - A. Again, being ingrained in my brain on how to process that type of a check, you know, the policy, whatever it was, was followed in a way that the check would clear the bank with some certainty on redeposit.
 - Q. Okay. How did you learn about this policy that you described to me about resubmitting NSF checks?
 - A. It would be through training.
 - Q. NCO trained you on the policy?
 - A. The managers would train us on policy. If

KENNETH ROSE

			
1	Page 18		Page 20
l 1	Do you know a name for a form you're	1	about your conversation. Assuming the debtor told you
, 2	talking about?	2	that funds were going to be available, when did you fill
3	Q. I'm give you some names check verification	3	out the redip form?
4	form, a redip form.	4	 At the time of the qualification, if I also
5	 A. Those are different things. Now you're asking 	5	got a bank verification. One or the other. I would fill
6	about a procedure in order to do something. That's a	6	it out at that point.
7	little bit different.	7	Q. I'm not sure I follow.
8	Q. Okay.	8	You fill it out
9	But to actually talk to a debtor or a bank	9	Once I could qualify that the funds would be
10	about the check itself, the computer notes and the	10	good if the check were to be redeposited.
11	recording were sufficient.	11	Q. Then you would fill out the form?
12	Q. Okay. Well, tell me, are you familiar with a	12	A. Yes.
13	form that was called a redipping form	13	 Q. So, normally, that would be after you talked
14	A. Yes.	14	to the debtor if you couldn't get bank verification?
15	Q or something like that?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Yes. That was a necessary form. There you	16	Q. So you hang up the phone with a debtor and you
17	had to qualify that the funds were there, hopefully, with	17	fill out the form?
18	both the debtor and the bank, and then you would give it	18	A. Yes.
19	to your manager to sign off on.	19	Q. Okay. Then what do you do with the form?
20	Q. What was the title of the form?	20	A. Gave it to my manager.
21	A. Redip form.	21	Q. When do you do that?
22	 Q. Was it ever called anything but redip form, do 	22	A. After I filled it out.
23	you remember?	23	Q. Okay. Same day?
24	A. No.	24	A. Yes.
1	Page 19		Page 21
1	Q. Okay. Who prepared the form? Who originally	1	Q. Okay.
2 .	came up with the form, do you know?	2	 A. It would — depending on what was going on, it
3	MS. FITE: Object to form. You can	3	would go in their bin.
4 :	answer.	4	Q. Okay.
5	A. The form we were told at one point that if	5	 Every manager had a bin outside their office.
6	we were to redip a check we would use this form.	6	We put the form in the bin.
7	Q. Okay. Who told you that?	7	Q. Okay. Let's say that you've tried to get bank
8	A. Our manager.	8	verification and the bank wouldn't tell you whether the
9	Q. Would that be Valerie Hue?	9	funds were good or not. Does that happen very often, by
10	 I'm not sure when that form came to be. 	10	the way? Will banks verify whether funds are available
11	Q. Okay. But it might have been Valerie Hue or	11	or not normally?
12	maybe a predecessor of Valerie Hue?	12	A. No. Now they don't. The Freedom of
13	A. Yes.	13	Information Act has really limited our ability to verify
14	Q. Okay. Did you use the redip forms?	14	funds with a bank.
15	A. I couldn't redeposit the check without a redip	15	Q. How long has that been the case?
16	form.	16	A. I think that started in 2005 the Freedom of
17	Q. Okay. So	17	Information Act.
18	A. Let me rephrase it. I couldn't have the check	18	Q. I'm not asking when the Act started. I'm
19	redeposited	19	asking when you did start having problems getting bank
20 1	Q. Okay.	20	verification.
21	A without the redip form.	21	A. When the Act was enforced.
22		22	Q. Do you know when that was?
	Q. Let's continue on with what you were talking	22	d. no lon later, suich a lar sian.
(23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)	- •
	Q. Let's continue on with what you were talking about before. You were telling me that when you contacted the debtor you would make notes in the computer	23 24	
. 72	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)	- •
	about before. You were telling me that when you	23	A. Not exactly.

KENNETH ROSE

Page 26 Page 28 1 A. We had all kinds of spreadsheets. A. Being fee-driven, the only way we could get 2 Q. Do you recall when you met with them at the 2 fee is if the check clears the bank. end of the month that they would go through a list of NSF 3 3 O. Right. 4 checks with you? 4 When the manager sat down with you 5 A. It really depended on if I had any. 5 towards the end of the month, wouldn't the manager have Q. Well, let's say you had some. 6 6 the documentation, the redip form that you filled out, 7 A. How many? 7 regarding the NSF check? 8 8 A. If they were asking me about a check that O. Let's say you had five of them. 9 A. I don't know that I had five in any given 9 hasn't cleared the bank yet, I don't know that they would 10 have a redip form at that point. month. 10 11 Q. Okay. Whatever number you want to give to it. 11 Q. Well, maybe you can tell me. 12 A. All right. Okay. Well, if there was one 12 How was the redip form used by the check that bounced and someone asked me if I could make 13 13 manager, if you know? it up by the end of the month with some certainty, okay. 14 14 A. Well, the idea of the form -- okay. Nothing 15 given my background in this business, I would say yes. 15 can be redeposited without manager approval. That was 16 Okay. Whether or not that actually happened is another 16 the purpose of the form. 17 question. 17 Q. So you would give the manager the redip form. 18 Q. Do you recall in meeting with the managers or 18 The redip form would explain that you'd contact the 19 a manager at the end of the month or towards the end of 19 debtor --20 the month -- and when you went over and discussed the 20 A. Or the bank. 21 making up of NSF -- do you recall that that manager had a 21 O. -- or the bank and explain how the funds had 22 list of NSF checks that they were discussing with you? 22 been qualified. So the manager would have all that 23 MS. FITE: Object to form. Asked and 23 information. 24 answered. Go ahead. 24 Why did they need to talk to you about Page 27 Page 29

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

22

24

A. When a check bounced, everybody knew about it. It was public knowledge if a check bounced. Okay. Cash was always posted. We would see what was going on. If you had a bounced check, you were notified about it immediately.

O. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

22

7 A. First of all, you would see it, because you had the ability on a daily basis to look at your fees. When your fees went backwards, one of two things happened, either there was an AR backoff because a client 10 11 didn't pay us or, B, there was an NSF check.

12 Q. Okay. Do you recall whether the manager had 13 this list of NSF checks when you met with them at the end of the month? 14

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. The manager would go through that list 17 with you if you had NSF checks that month. Is that 18 right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. What was the purpose of going through 21 the list with you?

A. To see if I could make the check up.

Q. Okay. Why would the manager need to do that? 23 24 Why would they need to ask you about it?

it? Why couldn't they just approve the form? 1 2 MS. FITE: Object to form. I think

you're talking about two different things.

A. You are talking about two different things.

Q. Well, can you explain to me why that is?

A. Why you're talking about two different things?

Q. Yeah.

How am I talking about two different

things?

10 A. First of all, if you're talking about a check that has yet to be qualified for redeposit, it wouldn't 11 12 come up in that meeting.

Q. I'm not talking about those checks. I'm talking about checks that at the end of month you're discussing with the manager because you want to make up NSF or you want to resubmit the check.

I'm not understanding what you're saying.

18 Q. Okay. Let me ask you: What was the process 19 after you put the redip form in the manager's bin? What 20 was the process from there on forward for you to get that 21 check resubmitted?

A. That was the process.

23 Q. What was?

A. The redip form.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that I said something.

KENNETH ROSE

Page 42 Page 44 A. I wasn't really sure. Again, I'm taken a Q. Do you recall what the allegation was? 1 little off guard when I'm taken out of my office into the 2 A. That I had said something. general manager's office to talk to Ted Fox. 3 Q. Do you recall what it was that you had Q. Did you ever tell Ted Fox or Kathy Obenshain 4 allegedly said? or anyone else that Valerie Hue ever directed you to 5 A. I know what I said. As far as the allegation, violate a policy of NCO? 6 I know what I said. As far as what was alleged, 7 A. No. something that I didn't say. Q. Has Valerie Hue ever directed you to violate a 8 Q. Did you get disciplined for that, do you know? policy of NCO? 9 A. Yes, I did. A. No. 10 Q. What kind of discipline did you get for it? Q. That would include the policy that deals with 11 A. I got written up with a -- I'm not sure if it check handling policies, I assume. was like a final warning. One step down from being 12 A. Yes. 13 terminated. Q. Okay. Could you explain the term 14 Q. Okay. When was that? "sandbagging" to me as it relates to collection practice? 15 A. In 2003. A. Yes, I could. 16 Q. Do you remember what month it was in? Q. Okay. Would you? 17 A. No. A. Oh, okay. I guess it's a term used for 18 Q. Have you ever been convicted of a crime? carrying money over to the next month that you could post 19 this month. 20 Q. How are you compensated by NCO? Do you earn Q. By that do you mean that a collector would not 21 salary or commission or a combination? want to submit a check until the following month even 22 A. I get a draw. And once I fill a certain fee though he knows it would clear during the existing month? 23 bucket or dollars collected, I start making commissions That's the definition of the term. 24 at 15 percent. Page 43 Page 45 Q. Why would they want to do that? Q. Okay. Do you know what your income was from 1 A. I really don't know. But I wouldn't do that. 2 NCO in the year 2005? But I guess to inflate their income for the next month. 3 MS. FITE: Object to form. Irrelevant. Q. Okay. Have you ever been disciplined by NCO? 4 Answer if you can remember. A. Yes. 5 A. In what? Last year, you're saying? Q. Were you ever disciplined by Valerie Hue? 6 Q. Yes. A. I'm not sure. We would get verbal warnings or 7 A. Mm-hmm. some kind of warnings, disciplinary warnings. 8 Q. Approximately what was the amount? THE WITNESS: What were they? JDS's? 9 A. A little over \$77,000. What were they called? Yeah. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. In 2004 do you remember? BY MR. HOMER: 11 A. \$55,000. Q. Did Valerie Hue ever talk to you about sexual 12 Q. How about 2003? harassment? 13 A. \$96,000. MS. FITE: Object to form. Answer if 14 MR. HOMER: Okay. I don't have any you can. 15 other questions. A. I'm not sure what you mean. 16 MS. FITE: I'm going to step out and Q. In the course of being supervised by Valerie 17 talk with Dina. Off the record for a minute. Hue, was there ever a time where she came to you and 18 (A recess was taken.) discussed sexual harassment? 19 ----A. Yes. 20 KENNETH ALAN ROSE, resumes Q. Could you relay what the substance of that 21 BY MS. FITE: discussion was? 22 Q. You know me. I'm Elizabeth Fite. I'm an A. Sure. There was an allegation by a secretary 23 attorney for NCO in this matter. I'm just going to ask

you two questions.

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

VALERIE HUE,

Plaintiff,

ν.

) Civil Action No. IS, INC.,) 05-225-KAJ

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation, trading as NCO FINANCIAL COMMERCIAL SERVICES,

Defendant.

Telephone Deposition of MICHAEL SCHER taken pursuant to notice at the law offices of Parkowski, Guerke & Swayze, P.A., 116 West Water Street, Dover, Delaware, beginning at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, March 23, 2006, before Robert Wayne Wilcox, Jr., Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public.

APPEARANCES:

JEREMY W. HOMER, ESQ.
PARKOWSKI, GUERKE & SWAYZE, P.A.
116 West Water Street
Dover, Delaware 19903
for the Plaintiff,

ELIZABETH K. FITE, ESQ. (via teleconference)
SESSIONS, FISHMAN & NATHAN, L.L.P.
15316 North Florida Ave - Suite 100
Tampa, Florida 33613
for the Defendant.

CORBETT & WILCOX
Registered Professional Reporters
1400 French Street Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 571-0510
www.corbettreporting.com

5

7

8

Page 28

Page 26

- didn't have anything to do with where the collection 2 records were kept.
 - O. I understand that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

But as general manager you were aware that the policy of the office was to retain all records. Is that a fair statement?

- When things were sent to our corporate office - I really do not know whose responsibility it was to keep those records. I don't know if we had to keep something on site or if it was corporate's responsibility to keep records on site.
- 12 Q. Okay. But let's just talk about records that 13 were at the Dover office. As I understand it, your 14 policy at the Dover office was not to throw away any 15 records. Is that correct?

16 MS. FITE: Object to form. 1 think 17 that's a mischaracterization. They wouldn't have kept 18 every piece of paper that ever went out of the Dover 19 office. That would have been millions of pieces of paper 20 per year.

21 THE WITNESS: Absolutely.

MR. HOMER: Well, now you're sort of

23 testifying for the witness, Elizabeth. 24

My question for you is: Kathy Obenshain would not instruct you to redeposit a check under any 3 circumstance, would she?

- A. I cannot think of one, no.
- Q. You didn't have any role whatsoever for redepositing checks. Correct?
- A. Instructions would have been given to the collections department.
- 9 Q. Okay. I'd also like to refer to paragraph 10 No. 5 of the exhibit, which reads - again, I'll quote 11 this -- It is known that fraudulently violating NCO's check handling policies would result in termination, end quote. This follows your paragraph 4 statement where 14 you're talking about the resubmission of checks and 15 verification and so forth.

16 Mr. Scher, are you stating here in 17 paragraph No. 5 of the statement that it would have been 18 fraudulent to redeposit an NSF check without getting 19 verification from the debtor that the funds are 20 available?

21 A. Unless you had bank verification, then you 22 were not - then it's a violation of policy. There were 23 only two ways to deposit the check -- or redeposit a 24 check.

Page 27

6

7

BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. You did testify earlier that the policy was not to throw anything away, as I understood it. Am I right about that?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. Let's go --
- A. We had to purge our records every now and again. I mean, it just - it would be impossible to keep every piece of paper.
 - O. I understand that.

But when there are records that reflect a transaction with a debtor, for example -- and we'll talk specifically about the redip forms -- those types of records are records that the policy was to retain, correct, and not throw away?

- A. I would believe the collections department 17 would be responsible for retaining those, yes.
- Q. Okay. Going back to Exhibit 2, which is your 19 sworn statement, I'll read to you paragraph No. 2. It says, "At no time did Kathy Obenshain, former vice president of operations for the commercial division, 22 instruct me to redeposit checks without verification of
- 23 funds. Such conduct is a known violation of NCO check 24 handling policies."

Page 29

- 1 Q. Okay. I understand that was the policy, but 2 this seems to go one step further. It says it's actually 3 fraudulent to redeposit a check unless there's been
- 4 verification with a bank or verification with a debtor.
- 5 Isn't that what it's saying?
 - A. It does say fraudulent.

Are you asking me my opinion?

- 8 Q. Well, I'm asking if that's what you meant by 9 your written statement.
- 10 A. Yes. That would be fraudulently violating 11 NCO's check handling policies.
- 12 Q. So if an NSF check was redeposited for payment 13 without getting verification from the bank that the funds were there or else getting verification from the debtor 14 15 that the funds were there, that would be fraudulent?
- 16 A. Fraudulently inflating our end-of-the-month 17 revenue numbers.
- 18 Q. Well, it says "fraudulently" violating the 19 policy. Doesn't it?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.
- 21 Q. Okay. So am I right in saying, according to 22 your statement here, if you don't get bank verification
- 23 or debtor verification that an NSF check is going to be good, then you've engaged in fraud if you resubmit the

19

21

24

2

3

6

13

15

20

Page 36

Page 37

Page 34

- 1 Q. Do you recall when you first became aware of 2 that?
- 3 A. I believe it was whenever NCO obtained the 4 documents of the discrimination charge.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall if the charge was sent to 6 the Dover office? Or was it sent somewhere else, if you recall?
 - A. I don't recall.

5

7

8

24

3

4

- 9 Q. Okay. Do you recall who it was that told you 10 about the charge?
- 11 A. No, I don't recall.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you recall the approximate time that
- 13 you've learned about it vis-a-vis the time when Valerie
- Hue was terminated? In other words, do you remember
- 15 being notified a week after she left? A month? A year?
- 16 Anything along that line?
- 17 A. I'm sorry. I don't recall.
- 18 Q. Okay. Mr. Scher, have you ever been
- disciplined by NCO regarding any matter that has to do 19
- 20 with sexual harassment?
- 21 A. No, I have not.
- 22 Q. Okay. Has anyone at NCO ever accused you of
- 23 engaging in sexual harassment?
 - A. No. There was one time that there was a

and talked about it. There was nothing further. 1

- 2 Q. Okay. As far as you know, other than Jenie 3 and Valerie Hue and yourself, nobody else even knew about 4 this incident?
- 5 A. I don't know if it went past our office. It 6 was resolved. And that was it.
 - Q. Okay. But the answer to the question would
- 8 be, no, you're not aware of anybody else? 9
 - A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. Let me put that in a way that's clear
- 11 what your answer it. Was there anybody other than
- yourself, Valerie Hue and Jenie Birdsong that was aware 12
- 13 of the incident that you mentioned a minute ago where
- 14 there was a misunderstanding about a comment of a sexual 15 nature?
- 16 MS. FITE: Object to form.
- 17 A. I do not know if there was anybody else that
- 18 was aware of the misunderstanding.
 - Q. Okay. Mr. Scher, can you tell me
- 20 approximately what you earned in the year 2003 from NCO?
 - A. I cannot recall.
- 22 Q. Do you have any idea?
- 23 A. 2003?
 - MS. FITE: Jerry, let me just object to

Page 35

- misunderstanding that I had had over something that was 1
- 2 said with a former -- with an employee.
 - Q. Okay. Would that have been Jenie Birdsong?
 - A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Are you sure it was over something that was 6
- said, or did it involve touching?
- 7 A. I don't recall. As far as I recall, it was
- 8 something that was said.
- 9 Q. Okay. What do you recall about it?
- 10 A. Jenie had misunderstood something that I had
- said. The next day it was addressed. We sat down like 11
- 12 adults and discussed it and got past it. And as far as I
- 13 know, nothing has been stated since. We remain great
- 14 friends today.
 - Q. Okay. Was NCO management made aware of the
- 16 issue?

15

- 17 A. I believe Jenie Birdsong said something to
- 18 Valerie.
- 19 Q. Anybody other than Valerie that was aware of
- 20 it that you know of?
- 21 I don't know if Valerie said anything else.
- 22 Q. Okay. I take it you weren't suspended or
- 23 disciplined as a result of this incident.
- 24 A. No. There was no -- Jenie and I had sat down

1 form, because I don't see how this is relevant.

You can go ahead and answer.

MR. HOMER: Well, you know you don't

4 have to object to any question bearing relevancy.

5 BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. Go ahead. You can answer the question.
- 7 A. Maybe 150,000.
- 8 Q. Okay. How much did you make last year?
- 9 A. 2005?
- 10 Q. I'm sorry?
- 11 A. 2005?
- 12 Q. Yes.
 - A. Probably about 150,000.
- 14 Q. Okay. Last question.

Have you ever been convicted of a crime?

16 A. No.

17 MR. Homer: Okay. I don't have any

18 other questions. 19

Elizabeth, do you have any?

MS. FITE: No. No questions.

21 MR. HOMER: Okay. Mr. Scher, you have a

22 right to review the transcript of the deposition and note

- 23 any errors that you think might have been made in the
- transcription. You also have the right to waive reading

B-424

Anthony Reporting

(302)674-8884

1/31/06 Depo of Dina B. Shaantiel

Hue v. NCO Financial Systems

Filed 05/16/2006 14Page 26 of 41 Case 1:05-cv-00225-KAJ

deposits of checks. 2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Q. Okay. Well, with respect to the commercial ops programming, it says at the top, this is the policy for NSF checks. Are you saying that this policy didn't apply to all of the commercial ops?

This policy applied at this time for them. This was before my time with commercial.

Q. Okay. What did Laura Harkinson and her group do with these requests once they were received?

To the best of my knowledge, Laura Harkinson was a cash posting clerk. She would take an e-mail and, based on what the e-mail was, either post or destroy a check, to my knowledge.

Q. Okay.

But again, I was not involved at that time Α. with this.

 And what request is being made here, to your understanding? In the second bullet point, what is the request -

A. You have several here. Which one are you referring to?

Q. I'm talking about the one in bullet point two.

Requests should be e-mailed to Laura

1 Harkinson.

2

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

O. Yes. What kinds of requests are they talking about there?

A. I wasn't a part of this at that time, so I was not involved and I wouldn't want to give you an exact answer.

Q. Okay. Do you know what the third bullet point is, requests for redeposits can only be made for NSF items processed within the past 30 days?

> Repeat that question. A.

Well, just read the third bullet. 0.

Request for redeposits can only be made for NSF items processed within the past 30 days (time frame provided by executives).

MR. ISRAEL: I think he's asking: Do you know what that means?

MR. HOMER: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I do know what that

19 means.

BY MR. HOMER:

Okay. What does that mean? Q.

22 Anytime we have a check that is returned NSF, we have 30 days to make that same check good. We 23 have to go through a verification process before we do 24

that, and that would be - if it's not within that time frame, you cannot redeposit the check.

Q. Okay. And that policy is stated in this Exhibit 1, correct?

> Right here. A.

Q. At the third bullet point, that is what that is saying; is that correct?

A. Uh-huh.

MR. ISRAEL: You have to say yes.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes. I am sorry.

MR. ISRAEL: That is okay. You are doing

good.

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. So a clerk would get a request. Who would submit the request? Do you know?

I apologize; I do not know who requested these during this time frame.

Q. Would it be collectors? Do you know?

A. I don't know. I really don't want to answer a question I don't have information on.

MR. ISRAEL: I don't know is fine. There is no rush. She has all day.

23 BY MR. HOMER:

What was your involvement in 2003 in

reviewing NSF requests, if you had any involvement at 2 all?

Steve Leckerman came to me in I want to say sometime early October, maybe September, October (And) he said to me that he wanted me to start reviewing all the NSFs across the board

Who is Steve Leckerman? Q.

Steve Leckerman is the senior vice president A. of operations.

Did he explain why he wanted to do that?

He explained that he wanted to make sure that we were following policy and that we were putting good money in and we weren't chasing bad money.

Q. Okay. Did he explain anything else about why he was doing it?

I don't recall. Α.

Did you work with Bette Capaldo's group in doing this, or did you work independent?

I worked independent.

Q. Okay. And did you review the NSF requests 20 to see if they complied with this policy that is in 21 22

Exhibit 1?

23 A. I did not go by this specific set of details. I went with the details that were given to me

So you did it by client, and then you did it by office location? No. AmEx is actually a whole division, just like our commercial division. So I did their whole division. They were first. Q. Do you recall when you did Dover? Not the exact date. Was it before the end of December? 1 MR. ISRAEL: 59 Checks? 2 THE WITNESS: The 59 Checks. BY MR. HOMER: 4 59 is a code for NSF checks; is that 5 correct? 6 A. 7 Q. And do you know what the date of the document is? 9 Α. 10 Q. Is there a date on it? Do you know? 11 I don't remember. 12 Q. Okay. But this is a document you drew up or 13 Steve Leckerman drew up?

It's a document that I drew up and sent to

Q. And what did he do with it? Did he tell you

Q. Now, you said there were guidelines. What

There is no cut-and-dry in anything that you

it was okay, or did he give you any feedback on it?

do, so sometimes you just have to read the collector

things in there that tells you right there they didn't

notes, decipher them. Sometimes the collectors will put

He said that was fine.

do you mean by guidelines?

1/31/06 Depo of Dina B. Shaantiel

Page 27 of 41

Was it a matter of months or years or weeks,

By the end of December I said I couldn't do

I was pretty much through all of AmEx, all

AmEx is a client, or is that a location?

American Express is our client.

I don't remember.

It's called the 59 Checks.

Steve Leckerman.

A.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

call the bank or they did call the bank or they will document.

And based on what you might read in the notes, the guidelines might not apply. Where we might -- say, we were given a proof of deposit, well, then you don't need to go to the guidelines, because we know the money is in the bank.

- Q. What are some of the other examples of when the guidelines might apply?
- Each case scenario might be different. It would be very hard for me to go through this at this time. If I had an account in front of me, I could go through it.
- Q. But there are a number of other examples you could come up with if you had documents in front of you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it fair to say that in some cases collectors aren't able to contact debtors?

MR. ISRAEL: You are talking about NSF

checks?

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. HOMER: I am talking about an NSF check that has been sent up for redeposit or if there is no request for redeposit.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I just want to make

- After -- From when? This time with Leckerman, right?
 - Q. Right.
 - Okay. Repeat that. Α.
- Why don't you just explain it to me? What, again, did Mr. Leckerman charge you to do?
- He asked me to review all the accounts across the board that had NSFs to see if they were handled properly.
- Q. When you say they had NSFs, are you saying where there were NSFs that were redeposited?
- No. It was all NSFs, whether they were redeposited or not.
- Q. And with respect to the debtor authorization point that Mr. Leckerman made with you, that had to do not only with redepping an NSF check, but also with any check that came back NSF; is that correct?
 - That is correct. A.
- Q. Okay. So you were trying to find out whether a collector or someone else had obtained the debtor's authorization to submit the check that came back NSF?
- I reviewed the accounts that came back NSF, whether it was a redep or not, to determine if we had

sure I understand this question. Can you repeat that, please?

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. Yes. You were giving me examples of where the guidelines of Mr. Leckerman wouldn't apply; that is, you wouldn't necessarily have all the points that he mentioned in place. For example, there might not be debtor authorization or may be the debtor wasn't contacted. That is just an example.

What I'm asking you is: Were there situations that you are aware of where the collector tried to contact debtors but couldn't get a hold of them but still submitted the request form for NSF?

MR. ISRAEL: I don't understand. I'm going to object. I think the question is unclear. Are you asking where collectors would request that an NSF check be put in, even though the guidelines, as written, is what they would have followed?

BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. What I am asking -- Let me try again.
- A.
- Q. Your job was to review checks that had gone in for redeposit that had been NSF checks, correct? Is that correct?

made contact with the debtor or followed the proper) procedure to do so.

- Q. Now my question for you is: Were there situations where the collector, or whoever else was working on the case for NCO, didn't obtain debtor authorization because they couldn't get a hold of the debtor? Did you see that situation arise?
- A. Are you talking about for the redep or not for the redep?
 - Q. Either way.
 - Yes, there were. A.
- Okay. And was that, to your understanding, improper in all cases? Or were there situations where the collector was allowed to do that?
- On first contact, when the check went in on the first time and there was no contact with the debtor, that is improper and cannot be done. If nobody gives you authorization to take a check, you can't take a check. In a redep situation, because we already have the authorization to post the check, if we can verify the funds are good at the bank and we cannot make contact with the debtor, we have put the check through.
- O. Okay. Well, let's say that nobody could verify with the bank that the check was good. Are you

21

22

23

20

21

A.

No.

that what you are saying?

Q. Okay. You were saying you didn't look for

the forms that supported the redepping of NSF because

the accounts didn't show the forms had been used. Is

identification and attached to the record.)

(Shaantiel Exhibit Number 2 was marked for

MR. HOMER: Before we get to that, though, I

would like a copy of this memo to Mr. Leckerman, if I

haven't already gotten it. I guess that is the one we

- Different offices.
- When you prepared this report for January, do you know how many collectors you reviewed at that point?
 - A. At this point in here?
 - 0. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

24

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

- We had reviewed the complete commercial file, I think. I mean 90 -- I'm almost 100 percent sure we went through all of commercials for that time frame.
- Q. Well, I thought you had said before that by the end of December, you were crying for help, that you couldn't get through it, and you mentioned only four that you had gone through, Baltimore, Buffalo, AmEx, and one other.
- A. Right. This is the February report. We did this in January.
- O. I understand that. You are saying that in the next month, you finished all the rest of them in January 2004? You only had four done by the end of December. And then in January, you got everything else 20 done in the commercial division?
- 22 MR. ISRAEL: Can we go off the record for a 23 second?
 - (Following a discussion off the record:)

BY MR. HOMER:

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. Let's back up a little bit. When did you start reviewing, pursuant to Mr. Leckerman's directive, the commercial offices and the collector practices regarding redepping NSF checks?
 - It was the beginning of the year.
 - 0. January?
 - Α. Yes, sir.
- Okay. January of 2004, you are talking about?
 - A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And when did you complete that 13 review?
 - In the month of January. Α.
 - 0. Okay.

MR. ISRAEL: You believe?

THE WITNESS: I believe.

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. You are not sure about that? Apparently not. Is that true? You are not sure?

21 MR. ISRAEL: By the time we are done, you 22 won't be sure of anything. 23

THE WITNESS: I have to tell you, give me a

rubber band.

MR. ISRAEL: You are 99 percent sure? THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. Let's get back to Exhibit 2. It says all the checks were returned.

> MR. ISRAEL: Still on that first block? MR. HOMER: Right.

8 BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. What time period are you talking about there?
- A. I reviewed the checks from the end of December through the middle of January.
- Q. And you say at the end of December. Do you mean December 31st or --
- A. No. I would say from the 15th, give or take a few days.
- O. Okay. Would that have been true of all of the collectors you were looking at in that one-month period, December 15th to January 15th?
 - A. I would say that would be true.
- 21 Q. Okay. It says here that Valerie Hue put through the checks. Again, I'm referring to Exhibit 4. 22 23
 - A, Absolutely.
 - How do you know she put through the checks? Q.

- At the time I didn't know it was Valerie Hue, until I actually did the final report. I went by user code. At that time I only identified user codes. And then I went through and I was able to get the information, what user code related to what person to identify what went on the report.
- Q. Can you find the specific checks that she put through that you claim or you indicate here were returned? Can you find those checks?
 - Α. Not today.
 - 0. Well, can you find them?
 - I can get access to them.
- Okay. Do you have any way of knowing whether someone else might have used her user code when the checks were put through?
- To my knowledge, nobody uses anybody's user code by their own.

(A recess was taken from 2:14 p.m. until

19 2:18 p.m.)

20 BY MR. HOMER:

> Q. What documentation have you kept to show that the authorization procedures weren't followed and that people put these checks through that were returned? What do you have in terms of records that show it? I

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

reviewed every NSF check in the whole company for that one-month period. And you found debtor verification or bank verification for every NSF check except for the ones that are listed in this exhibit? Is that your 7 statement? MR. ISRAEL: Just an objection, mischaracterization. You don't mean the whole company, 10 but just in the commercial division? 11 MR. HOMER: In the commercial division. 12 BY MR. HOMER: 13 Q. Those were the only ones that were redepped, 14 right? 15 MR. ISRAEL: Why don't we keep the questions 16 in order? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You confused me. 17 18

BY MR. HOMER: Q. I want to make sure that what you are 19 telling me is that this Exhibit Number 2 contains every violation that you found that related to redepping an 21 22 NSF check where the proper procedures weren't followed. MR. ISRAEL: For the commercial division? 23 24 MR. HOMER: For the commercial division.

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. Okay. And you are saying that company-wide, no other collector for a one-month period redepped an NSF check without doing the proper authorization? Your review shows that; is that correct?

A. Company-wide or commercial? MR. ISRAEL: Commercial. THE WITNESS: I'm so sorry.

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. I'm always referring to that. I am always referring to the commercial division.

A. Okay. I just want to make sure we understand that it is commercial only.

MR. ISRAEL: Right. He's made that clear. THE WITNESS: Okay. It gets confusing. I apologize. It's a big place now. Rephrase the question.

MR. HOMER: Could you read back the last question?

(The following was read:

"Question: Okay. And you are saying that company-wide, no other collector for a one-month period

look for the verification forms that might have been submitted. Is that correct? And I'm talking again about the verification that a debtor had been contacted regarding the redepping of NSF checks in the commercial division.

A. I looked in the transaction notes to see if that was done.

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay. And it was based on what you saw in the transaction notes that made you conclude whether or not somebody had verified; is that correct? Somebody verified with the bank? Somebody contacted the debtor?

A. Those were some of the things we looked for.

Q. What else did you look for?

A. To see if people documented the account, that they worked it, to read the notes to see what the debtor said.

Q. Okay. And all of that information is still available for us to look at that you looked at at the time you made this Exhibit Number 2?

A. To the best of my knowledge, it should be.

MR. ISRAEL: Meaning that if you can identify which account, you can go back to the account and pull it up?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. ISRAEL: Is that what you are saying? THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. HOMER:

2

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

Q. Well, is there any reason why you couldn't identify the account?

MR. ISRAEL: Do you know which accounts you looked for?

THE WITNESS: I don't have the list, and I don't remember off the top of my head. I can go ahead and recreate the report that I used at that time to go to those accounts. And I would get the same information.

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. How would you do that? How would you recreate the report?

A. I would have to go into system reports, fill in the documentation that was requested for the time frame, and the computer would spit the information out.

Q. Okay. How much effort would it take to do that?

MR. ISRAEL: To go and pull each account that she looked at?

MR. HOMER: No to recreate the document

MR. HOMER: No, to recreate the document, the one that you just talked about. --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

S

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Kathy Obenshain --

2

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. ISRAEL: We made it broader than that, We asked about anything relating to her investigation that touched upon commercial. I think we have produced everything. But if you have more, we can certainly produce it.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I will go back and look again, and I will have somebody else double-check me. BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. I'm looking back again at this December 15th to January period, 2003, 2004. Do you have any idea of what the percentage of redepped NSF checks came back NSF again?
 - A. No.
 - You didn't look at that question at all? 0.
- Q. How do you know that a collector would have just noted on the paperwork that you reviewed that he contacted the debtor? How would you know that he actually did contact the debtor?
- We can run phone reports to see if there was actually a phone call made.
- Q. And did you do that in all cases? When you 23 did your audit, when you found in your accounts that the

collector indicated he had contacted the debtor, did you then check to see if he had actually done it in all cases?

- Not in all cases. A.
- And what percentage of cases would you have checked?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. No idea?
 - No. A.
- Q. Is it fair to say that all the offices that were involved in commercial collections would have been requesting the redeposit of NSF checks?
 - A. Yes.
 - Can you explain what a DCI check is? 0.
- A DCI check is check information that is 16 entered into our system that we obtain from the debtor. It's called debtor check information.
 - Q. And essentially, it is an authorization to create a check for the debtor, correct?
 - That's correct.
 - Q. Was it proper, going back to the 2000 year period --

MR. ISRAEL: The 2003?

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. 2003, I'm sorry, the 2003 period, was it proper to recreate a DCI check that had been returned NSF as opposed to redepping the same check?

A. It's not -- At that time it was not common practice to recreate the DCI to redep a check. In certain cases, collectors would do that if the microline was invalid or any certain set of circumstances that it did not allow to clear at the bank after verification.

Q. Okay. And in your audit, did you try to determine whether that practice was being followed? Let me back up a little bit. Was there a written policy back in 2003 regarding any requirement to redep DCI checks returned NSF as opposed to recreating a DCI check returned NSF?

I want to make sure that I understand you here.

> Q. Okay.

And again, it goes back to your definition of what an NSF check is. If we are talking about a true NSF, the policy was that you had to contact the debtor or you had to contact and you would not recreate the check. You would actually take the check that was returned as an NSF and put it through. The only way

that you would not put it through is if the bank put holes in the bottom of the check in the microline.

If the check is returned to a utility which is unable to locate the account, if the check is returned stop payment -- there are other examples here that are considered NSF when we back it off the system. that although it is a true NSF because the check is returned, it could be because of a key stroke error where somebody entered it into a system where it should have been a three and it was a two, and the bank returned it.

- Q. So then you could recreate under certain circumstances?
- A. Under certain circumstances, you could recreate the check.

MR. ISRAEL: Let him finish his question. I know it's hard, because the questions are easy. But let him finish his question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

20 BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. You could in certain circumstances recreate a DCI check that had been returned NSF, correct?
 - Correct.
 - To get back to my original question, was

there, in 2003, any written NCO policy regarding the recreation of a DCI check versus redepping a DCI check that had been returned NSF?

- A. To my knowledge, I did not see iL But I was told there was one there.
 - Q. Who told you that there was?
- A. When I started the investigation and had started talking to Kathy, she had told me that it was written policy for her division.
 - Q. But you never saw the policy?
 - A. No.

6

7

10

11

22

23

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

24

- Q. Okay. To your knowledge, did Kathy 12 Obenshain do anything that was improper in terms of the 13 14 check handling policies? 15
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you know anything about the reason she 16 17 left NCO?
- 18 A.
- 19 Q. You have no knowledge at all of why she 20 left?
- 21
 - Q. Going back to Exhibit 2, is there any violation that you are reporting in this exhibit that is a violation of any of the policies that are in

MR. ISRAEL: I mean that document speaks for itself. It is right -

MR. HOMER: I know. I need this question for foundation.

BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. There is nothing in there that refers to debtor contact or bank verification?
 - A. Well, where?

MR. ISRAEL: He is asking.

THE WITNESS: Show me where you are -- Right

here and here?

MR. ISRAEL: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Requests can only be made on

14 true NSF items --15

MR. ISRAEL: No, no. Listen to his question. He is asking: Do any of these bullets read verification? Read each one. No rush, there is no rush.

19 THE WITNESS: Right here, it says verification. It says: Accounting clerk will have the final verification in all items meeting the above 21 22 criteria.

23 BY MR. HOMER:

Q. Yes. But what the clerk does is verify the

Exhibit 1?

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Referring to the commercial, yes.
- Q. And what violations are there in Exhibit 1 that are reported in Exhibit 2?
- A. I don't have the account in front of me here, so I couldn't give you an exact example.
- Q. Well, can you tell me what policy was violated? I'm talking about what policy in Exhibit 1 was violated?
- The checks that were invalid or NSF were put A. through.
 - Q. Can you tell me which bullet it went by?
- A. Requests can only be made on true NSF items and not refer to makers, invalid accounts, et cetera.
- Q. Refer to maker and invalid account, what do those two terms refer to?
- A. An invalid account is where the check was returned because the account number was invalid. And a refer to maker could be several different reasons, depending on how the bank stamps the check. It says refer to maker, and then there is a tag on there.
- O. Well, there is nothing in Exhibit 1 that refers to verification by the debtor or verification by the bank, is there?

other bullets have been approached. They are not verifying that the other banks have been contacted. right? Didn't you testify before that you didn't even use this policy when you did your review? What you were looking for was bank verification and debtor contact?

A. Correct.

Q. And when you did your report, you weren't looking to cite anybody for a violation under this Exhibit 1 policy. You were looking to cite or find violations of this alleged policy of having to contact the debtor or verify with the bank, correct?

MR. ISRAEL: Mischaracterization, argumentative. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. Okay. So my question again is: Did your report in Exhibit 2 reflect any violations of the Exhibit 1 policy? And by that policy, I'm referring to the bullet points.

MR. ISRAEL: Do you mean other than what she has told you?

MR. HOMER: She hasn't told me of any yet that I'm aware of. She talked about the last one being verification. But it is clear that verification isn't a

Filed 05/16/2006 Page 35 of 41 1/31/06 Depo of Dina B. Shaantiel

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

2

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Anthony Reporting

bank verification. It's a verification that the other 2 bullet points have been followed.

MR. ISRAEL: Well --

THE WITNESS: Can I ask a clarification?

MR. HOMER: Sure.

MR. ISRAEL: You should. And just because he makes a statement in his question doesn't mean that that is what you said. So go ahead and ask away.

THE WITNESS: If what you are asking me is — and I want to make sure I understand this — did I use this to create this? The answer is no.

12 BY MR. HOMER:

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

Q. That is not what I am asking. I understand you didn't use that at all. When you did your work, you didn't use the Exhibit I policy. You weren't looking for Exhibit 1 violations. You were looking for problems where the debtor hadn't been contacted or where the bank hadn't verified the funds, correct?

19 MR. ISRAEL: Or there wasn't an independent 20 verification.

21 MR. HOMER: Or there wasn't an independent 22 verification.

23 BY MR. HOMER:

Q. Wasn't that the scope of your review?

That was a part of it.

And you weren't looking for whether any of these policies were being followed, correct, that are in Exhibit 1?

A. Part of our policy that we looked for, for example, requests for NSF within the past 30 days --

O. Right.

-- that is part of something. Α.

You mentioned that before. But does your

table --

So your -

MR. ISRAEL: Let her finish, Go ahead, I am sorry. You are confusing me. I apologize. But you are getting ambiguous, and I apologize.

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. It's okay. Maybe I am confused, and that is part of my problem. But here in your description of four, you are saying proper authorization procedures were not followed. That is what you were looking for, whether the check was authorized, correct?

> A. Yes.

22 Either by the bank -0.

By the bank --

- or the debtor? You weren't looking to

establish whether these bullet points that are in

Exhibit 1 were being followed, correct?

I wasn't looking for that. Some of these A. overlap.

> Okay. Which ones overlap? Q.

A. The 30-day rule.

Well, there is nothing in Exhibit 4 that talks about a 30-day rule.

> No. I understand that. A.

Q. Okay. And you recall that there were 30-day violations?

I don't recall. A.

Q. So you don't know whether or not there were any violations of the Exhibit 1 policy reported in your Exhibit 2 report, correct?

A. Correct.

MR. HOMER: Mark this as Exhibit 3.

(Shaantiel Exhibit Number 3 was marked for

identification and attached to the record.) 19

20 BY MR. HOMER:

Q. Can you identify Exhibit 3?

It's an e-mail from Michele Moore. It actually originated from Kathy Obenshain. It was sent

on January 19th, and it was sent directly to me

concerning LeFevre and McQuisten.

Q. Okay. Exhibit 3 says -- and I will quote --I am sending you over a synopsis of out check - I think that should be our check policy shortly. Do you see where it says that?

A. Uh-huh.

MR. ISRAEL: I don't. Where is it? THE WITNESS: Right here.

MR. ISRAEL: I'm sorry. I got it.

BY MR. HOMER:

Q. Why was it that Kathy Obenshain was going to be sending you a synopsis of the check policies?

I don't remember.

Q. Did you know what the policies were as of January 19th?

A. I knew what we had used as our guidelines as a check policy, yes.

Q. And you don't recall why Kathy Obenshain would be telling you that she was going to be sending you the check policies?

A. I cannot remember.

MR. HOMER: Okay. Let's get this marked as Exhibit 4.

(Shaantiel Exhibit Number 4 was marked for

BY MR. HOMER:

)

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

Q. Were there hard copies other than e-mails of the information you gave?

There were hard copies on the shared file, the Met Share file, that she has accessibility to view off of.

Q. Well, what I am trying to get at, would you have delivered to her - independent of what is in the computer, would you have delivered to her specific information that supports your findings that are reported in Exhibit 2?

A. There was information that I gave to her that supported it in Exhibit 2.

her a document, a notebook, materials --

MR. ISRAEL: Let me finish - other than what is in the computer? If you didn't, you didn't. If you did, you did.

MR. ISRAEL: Tell him that.

22 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. 23

BY MR. HOMER:

140

haven't found any transmittal e-mail or any other document that reflects that you gave her information. Would that be a fair statement?

A. I reviewed my e-mails, and I did not find anything when I went looking.

Q. Okay. Did you attempt to find any other information about what you might have given Kathy Obenshain before the date of this memo of January 22, 2004? The Exhibit 7 memo?

A. If I did, I don't remember.

MR. HOMER: Let's mark this as Exhibit 8. (Shaantiel Exhibit Number 8 was marked for

identification and attached to the record.)

BY MR. HOMER:

15 Q. You have testified that Ted Fox was involved in the investigation. What was your involvement with 16 Ted Fox regarding this whole issue of the check handling procedures?

Very little; I dealt directly with Kathy.

Q. Did you ever have any conversations with Ted Fox about it?

> A. Very few.

Q. Okay. So you did have a few?

A. A few.

10

MR. ISRAEL: He is asking like did you send

THE WITNESS: I understand -

THE WITNESS: I don't remember.

Q. But you have looked in your file, and you

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 I don't remember. BY MR. HOMER:

up the computer and look at it. This is really old, and

- Q. But you could find from your computer what the date of this NSF report is?
 - Uh-huh, I think, I think. A.
- O. Well, regardless of the actual date of the report, Exhibit 10 does contain various checks that were dated in December of 2003 and January of 2004, correct?
 - Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Could you explain this? In the second column of the report, we have the collector name. Those are the individual names of the collectors, correct, we have that collected the checks that went NSF? Is that a fair statement?
 - A. Yes.
- O. And are these collectors from what offices? Do you know offhand?
- A. It doesn't tell you the office in this column.
- Do you know how many of them were from the Dover office?
 - No, I don't. A.
 - Okay. Would you have used the NSF report in Q.

your audit that resulted in the Exhibit 2 report that you prepared showing the violations?

- This would have been one of my worksheets --
 - 0. Okav.
- -- and not this report itself. I don't know if this was -- because like I said, something looks not right, like there is something missing.
- Q. Do you have any idea how many of these collectors are ones from the Dover office?
- No. It doesn't say on here. I can look and see if it gives it and in what office. It goes by units.
- O. Okay. What is the unit code? What is that telling us?
- A. The unit code is the work queue that the collector would be responsible for.
- Q. Let's take one, for example, A11. What work queue is that?
- That is a specific number of accounts that, in this case, William would have been responsible for handling.
- O. Okay. So the unit reflects the number of different accounts that you have with the creditors that you represent; is that right?

151

- A. Repeat that?
- The unit number refers to a collection of different accounts that you handle for given creditors?
 - A. Correct.
- Okay. And in the column A/C number, is that the account number for the creditor or for the debtor?
- That is the NCO inventory number, or better known as the master number or debtor number.
- So it is the number that is assigned to the debtor?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Now, in the column, Root of Problem, 13 Collector/Debtor, what information is supplied there? 14 What is that for?
 - That column is to what we think might be the root of cause. Was it the collector who did something inappropriate? Was it the debtor who did it? Or was it cash posting?
 - Q. Okay. Would it be fair to say that wherever you have a collector listed under the column, Root of Problem, that the collector, as you said, did something wrong and that may have resulted in the NSF? Is that what you are telling me?
 - It is a possibility that they did something A,

152

- wrong. It would be something that we would have to look further into.
- Q. And from everything on the NSF report that you had, did you look into the cause of the NSF?
 - On this report?
- Well, the NSF report, actually; I know you said you are not sure if this is the one you actually used.
- A. All right. Ask the question again. MR. ISRAEL: Did you go look? THE WITNESS: I looked the debtor account number up, and I would do the note.
- BY MR. HOMER:
- Q. Okay. Now, at the end of this, starting at page 47 --
 - A. 47?
- MR. ISRAEL: That is the little number at the bottom.

THE WITNESS: Okay, Thank you.

- BY MR. HOMER:
- Q. There are two more columns. And I think this is the continuation of the columns, and there just wasn't room on these pages.
 - A. Right.

because again, there is usually a 20- to a 30-day lag
back then. So if it came back into our office on the
31st, I probably would not have seen it until the end of
January. And it would not have gone on the February or
the beginning of February report.

- Q. Now, you told me before, I thought, that you reviewed the time period of December 15th to January 15th, and you reviewed all of the NSF for all of the offices that didn't clear. In other words, you looked at every single check that was put through that didn't clear.
- A. Right. That was on that system. These checks might have gone in on these dates that didn't clear. I don't know when they came back.
- Q. Well, can you tell from your system or can you retrieve from your system the NSF report that you actually did review?
- A. I know I can't retrieve it from my system. Can the company retrieve it?
 - Q. Yes.

S

- A. It's a possibility. We would have to check with IT.
- Q. Okay. We are going to ask for that report.
 And also, did you have a subsequent report to the

Exhibit 2 report regarding violations, or was that the only report that you ever made regarding violations that you found regarding check handling policies?

MR. ISRAEL: Do you mean ever? THE WITNESS: Do you mean ever?

BY MR. HOMER:

- O. Yes, ever.
- A. I have made those reports.
- Q. When were they made?
- A. Usually the beginning of every month, I would submit them.
- Q. Okay. And your testimony is that maybe you have a report showing these individuals who are on Exhibit 10 as violating the check handling policies; is that correct?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Well, we are going to ask for the MR. ISRAEL: Make sure he gets his whole question out. I would have objected, because that is a mischaracterization of his previous —

THE WITNESS: Okay. I am sorry.

MR. HOMER: You have a hard problem with these characterizations. I think when you read these transcripts, you going to see that you are wrong on just

about every one of them.

MR. ISRAEL: I don't agree.

MR. HOMER: Well, we are going to ask for -and we have already asked for this information, because
it is clearly relevant to the case. We are going to ask
for the NSF reports that were applicable, the ones she
actually reviewed when she did the audit, as she has
testified about, in December of 2003 or January of 2004.
She said she used such report, and we are asking for a
copy of it.

MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Well, I heard that. But as she said, she doesn't have it. As to whether IT can recreate it, I guess we can put in an IT request or we will decide if that is appropriate. But we don't have it to give to you.

MR. HOMER: We are also going to ask for subsequent reports that she made relating to --

MR. ISRAEL: Check handling?

MR. HOMER: — check handling policies or violations of check handling procedures for the year — Well, let's pick the first six months of 2004. In the alternative, if you have a report that identifies the individuals who are listed in Exhibit 10 and those checks and the cover of the checks that are in

16N

Exhibit 10 or some of them, we would ask for those reports.

MR. HOMER: All right. Let's have Exhibit 11 marked.

(Shaantiel Exhibit Number 11 was marked for identification and attached to the record.)

(Following a discussion off the record:)

BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. Can you identify the document that has been marked as Exhibit 11?
 - A. It's the collection cash unit.
 - Q. And are you familiar with this form?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And do you know where it's been used?

 MR. ISRAEL: I don't understand the question.

BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. Do you know where this form has been used? In what offices?
- A. These are printed out daily, or they used to be printed out daily for all cash posting.
- Q. That would be -- It is a document that would have been printed out, is it fair to say, by all of the collectors in the commercial division?

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and 2004, was any mention ever made to you that you ought to make a copy or keep a copy of the documents that you were relying upon to support the conclusions that are in Exhibit 2? That is, that people violated the policies?

A. I don't recall.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- Q. You don't remember that anybody did, I guess?
 - A. It's a long time ago. I don't remember.
- Q. But in any event, you didn't keep a separate document of it. The only source of this information now that you are aware of would be still in the computer, if it's there, correct?

MR. ISRAEL: She's testifying.

THE WITNESS: The only information would be in the computer.

BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. So you didn't keep a separate copy of the documents that support the findings of violations?
- A. Are you asking me if I kept it other than electronically on my computer?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Yes. I did not print them out or keep them.
 - Q. And you did not print out the NSF report

that you used to help you do the review?

- A. If I had printed out any NSF reports after I was completed with them, I probably would have either stored them in a secure area or had them shredded, because we don't leave things out with debtor information or people's check information.
- Q. And it never occurred to you that there may be a need for people to look at the documents that supported your conclusions at some subsequent time? That never occurred to you while you were doing this, I suppose?
- A. When I did these documents and I submitted them, it was my understanding that they were keeping the records. I did keep the information in my computer.

MR. HOMER: Okay. We will have that marked as Exhibit 12.

(Shaantiel Exhibit Number 12 was marked for identification and attached to the record.)
BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. The document that has been marked as Exhibit 12, can you identify this document?
- A. It says check verification.
 - Q. Have you ever seen this form before?
 - A. No

175

- Q. Do you know whether different offices in NCO's commercial division utilized different forms for check verification?
 - A. Yes, they did.
- Q. Okay. I guess you have already testified that you didn't look for check verification forms when you did your audit. You just used the master file, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Are you familiar with a form that is called the U Deposit Form?
 - A. U Deposit?
- Q. U Deposit? That is a the letter U, Deposit Form. Have you ever heard of the name of that form before?
- A. I haven't heard of that name before. If you could show me a copy of the form, maybe it has a different name.
- Q. Have you ever heard of any form used at NCO that sets out the reasoning for redepping or the basis for redepping?
- A. There were many different ways that people would request to redep.
 - Q. And did you explain what those ways were?

176

- A. They could send an e-mail to their manager.

 They could have had a formal form. I don't remember all of them.
- Q. And in some cases, the forms would have had the justification for redepping on them?
 - A. They should have.
- Q. But again, you wouldn't have looked at any of those forms when you did your review, because you were only looking at the master file?
 - A. At the master file for documentation.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether any of these forms that were not in the master file that went to the reasoning for redepping were sent to the Horsham office?
 - A. Repeat that question.
- Q. Do you know? Any of these forms that were used by different offices to explain the reasoning for redepping, were they sent to the Horsham office?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know what forms were sent to the Horsham office that related to the check handling procedures?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. You don't know? Not one form, do you know, that would be sent to the Horsham office that relates to

	I don't remember, because I used to have a pretty good feeling. BY MR. HOMER: Q. Do you know about how many collectors? MR. ISRAEL: What's that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Filed 05/16/2006 Page 40 of 41 Q. But there are a number of collectors in the whole operation that you would have reviewed when you did your audit in December of 2003? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any idea how many that would have been? How many collectors you would have looked at? A. No. Q. A thousand? A. I look at people all day long. It is not just I could look at 100 collectors in a day. Q. Well, in December of 2003, you would have looked at every collector in the whole company, correct? A. Over the course of 2003 and 2004, we try to hit everybody. I think we did a pretty good job. If I missed somebody, it was a fluke. But our job was to mine, and the person who I subsequently hired was to
1	4 BY MR. HOMER:	14	A. Over the course of 2003 and 2004, we try to
1	6 MR. ISRAEL: What's that? 7 MR. HOMER: Do you know how many collectors?	16	missed somebody, it was a fluke. But our job was to
1 2	Would the number have been different in 2003? MR. ISRAEL: I think there has been, for the last two or three years, between 150 and 200 collectors.	19 20	MR. HOMER: Okay. That is all I have. (The deposition adjourned at 5:43 p.m.)
2	 That is my best educated guess. BY MR. HOMER: Q. And that is in the commercial division? 	21 22 23	•
	4 A. Commercial.	<u> 24</u> 1	200

199 1 INDEX TO TESTIMONY 2 DEPONENT PAGE DINA BETH SHAANTIEL 3 Examination by Mr. Homer 2 Examination by Mr. Israel 187 4 Further Examination by Mr. Homer 191 5 INDEX TO EXHIBITS 6 SHAANTIEL EXHIBIT NO. FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 7 1 E-mail to Valerie Hue from Phil 8 Weaver, dated March 5, 2003 10 9 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36	
2 DEPONENT PAGE DINA BETH SHAANTIEL 3 Examination by Mr. Homer 2 Examination by Mr. Israel 187 4 Further Examination by Mr. Homer 191 5 INDEX TO EXHIBITS 6 SHAANTIEL EXHIBIT NO., FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 7 1 E-mail to Valerie Hue from Phil 8 Weaver, dated March 5, 2003 10 9 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36	-
DINA BETH SHAANTIEL 3 Examination by Mr. Homer 2 Examination by Mr. Homer 191 4 Further Examination by Mr. Homer 191 5 INDEX TO EXHIBITS 6 SHAANTIEL EXHIBIT NO. FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 7 1 E-mail to Valerie Hue from Phil 8 Weaver, dated March 5, 2003 10 9 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36	
Examination by Mr. Israel 187 4 Further Examination by Mr. Homer 191 5 INDEX TO EXHIBITS 6 5 SHAANTIEL EXHIBIT NO FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 7 1 E-mail to Valerie Hue from Phil 8 Weaver, dated March 5, 2003 10 9 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36	
5 INDEX TO EXHIBITS 6 SHAANTIEL EXHIBIT NO FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 7 1 E-mail to Valerie Hue from Phil 8 Weaver, dated March 5, 2003 10 8 PLEASE REPLACE TH 9 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36	
6 SHAANTIEL EXHIBIT NO FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 7 1 E-mail to Valerie Hue from Phil 8 Weaver, dated March 5, 2003 10 9 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36 9	!
SHAANTIEL EXHIBIT NO FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 7 1 E-mail to Valerie Hue from Phil 8 Weaver, dated March 5, 2003 10 9 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36 10 9	
7 1 E-mail to Valerie Hue from Phil 8 Weaver, dated March 5, 2003 10 9 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36 9	
8 Weaver, dated March 5, 2003 10 8 PLEASE REPLACE TH 9 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36	
5 2 January 2004 Dina Loft Policy Violations 36	US PAGE
110 WITH THE EPPATA	
10 3 E-mail to Michele J. Moore from Kathy	SHEET
Obenshain, dated January 19, 2004 79	
4 E-mail to Dina Shaantiel from Kathy 12 AFTER IT HAS B	EEN
13	
13 5 Memorandum to Kathy Obenshain from Brian Laische, dated July 15, 2003 112 14 COMPLETED AND S	SIGNED
14 6 E-mail to Michele J. Moore from Kathy 15	
15 Obenshain, dated January 30, 2004 117 16 BY THE WITNE	SS.
16 7 Memo for Record to Josh Gindin from	
Kathy Obenshain, dated January 22, 2004 133	
8 Memorandum to All Employees from Ted Fox 18 Kathy Obenshain, dated January 21, 2004 140 19	
19 9 E-mail to Michele J. Moore from Kathy 20	
Obenshain, dated February 2, 2004 144 21	
10 NSF Report 146	
11 Collection Unit Cash Journal 160	
12 Check Verification Report 174	
23 24	

,,,,,,,

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., a)
Delaware corporation, trading as)
NCO FINANCIAL COMMERCIAL SERVICES,)
Defendant,)

Deposition of DINA BETH SHAANTIEL, take

)) C.A. No.) 05-225-KAJ

Deposition of DINA BETH SHAANTIEL, taken before Cheryl A. Anthony, Court Reporter, in the law offices of Parkowski, Guerke & Swayze, 116 West Water Street, Dover, Delaware, on Tuesday, March 28, 2006, beginning at 10:00 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

PARKOWSKI, GUERKE & SWAYZE BY: JEREMY W. HOMER, ESQUIRE 116 West Water Street Dover, Delaware 19901 Attorney for Plaintiff.

SESSIONS, FISHMAN & NATHAN BY: DAVID ISRAEL, ESQUIRE 3850 North Causeway Boulevard Lakeway Two, Suite 1240 Metairie, Louisiana 70002-1752 and ELIZABETH FITE, ESQUIRE 15316 North Florida Avenue Suite 100 Tampa, Florida 33613 Attorneys for Defendant,

ALSO PRESENT: MS. VALERIE HUE

ORIGINAL RETAINED BY JEREMY W. HOMER, ESQUIRE

ANTHONY REPORTING PO Box 234 Dover, Delaware 19903 (302)674-8884 Filed 05/16/2006 Page 41 of 41 Shaantiel, vol. II

203

DINA BETH SHAANTIEL, the witness herein, having first been duly sworn, was examined and

testified as follows:

BY MR. HOMER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Good morning, Ms. Shaantiel. My name is Jeremy Homer. It is March 28th. It is 10:00 in the morning, and we are in the Dover office of Parkowski, Guerke & Swayze. This a deposition in the matter of Hue v. NCO. It is the second time we have taken your deposition. I'm sure you are aware of that.

I'm going to show you a document that has already been marked Obenshain Exhibit 16. Here is a copy for counsel. I would ask you to read through that document and, after you read it, I'm going to ask you if the information in the letter is accurate, as far as you know.

MR. ISRAEL: Do you want her to read the position statement?

MR. HOMER: No, this is not the position statement.

MR. ISRAEL: Oh, I'm sorry. I wasn't even paying attention. This is a letter from Elizabeth Fite. Okay. This is our March 3rd letter to you detailing

204

1 some discovery issues? 2 MR. HOMER:

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. HOMER: That's right.

MR. ISRAEL: Let's go slowly.

(Following a discussion off the record:)

MR. ISRAEL: Let's go off the record and reflect that Mr. Homer has left, and I have left.

(Following a brief recess:)

BY MR. HOMER:

- Q. Have you had a chance to thoroughly review the letter that has been marked Obenshain Exhibit Number 16?
 - A. I have read the letter.
- Q. And is the letter accurate, to the best of your knowledge?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. There is an Exhibit A attached to the letter that starts at about the fourth page of the exhibit. Can you tell me where this document was generated?
- A. It's a system-generated document that I get from the cash processing department on the 15th and the 30th of every month, give or take a day or two.
- Q. And did you personally pull this document from the computer?

A. No.

- Q. Who did that?
- A. This document comes from the cash processing department, and it is sent to me via e-mail.

205

- Q. When did you receive this document from the cash department?
 - A. I don't know the exact date.
- Q. Okay. Would it have been this year that you got it?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Would it have been in 2004?
 - A. It was in the early part of 2004.
- Q. Okay. Turning to Exhibit B, which is quite a ways after that, can you identify Exhibit B?
- A. This is our NSF report that we create on a monthly basis.
- Q. Okay. And the same question: Who generated this report?
 - A. My department generated this report.
- Q. And your department is -- What is the name of your department?
 - A. Compliance.
 - Q. And when was this report generated?
 - A. This report was generated in January.